



# CLIMATE CHANGE SCENARIOS

FOR GLACIERS AND MELTWATER CONTRIBUTION ON WATER AVAILABILITY IN CENTRAL ASIA



Authors:

**Martina Barandun<sup>a</sup>, Enrico Mattea<sup>a</sup>, Matthias Hussa<sup>b</sup>, Serikzhan Atanov<sup>a</sup>, Tomas Saks<sup>a</sup>,  
Ruslan Kenzhebaev<sup>c</sup>, Joel Fiddes<sup>d</sup>, Martin Hoelzle<sup>a</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> *University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland*

<sup>b</sup> *ETHZ, Zurich, Switzerland*

<sup>c</sup> *CAIAG, Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan, (project consultant)*

<sup>d</sup> *SLF, Davos, Switzerland, (project consultant)*

This publication was developed under the “Strengthening the Resilience of Central Asian Countries by Enabling Regional Cooperation to Assess Glacio-Nival Systems to Develop Integrated Methods for Sustainable Development and Adaptation to Climate Change” project, funded by the Global Environment Facility (GEF), implemented by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), and executed by UNESCO. Its contents are the sole responsibility of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the GEF Secretariat, UNDP, and UNESCO. The designations employed and the presentation of material throughout this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of GEF, UNDP, or UNESCO concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city, or area, or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

Suggested citation:

Barandun, A., Mattea, E., Hussa, M., Atanov, S., Saks, T., Kenzhebaev, R., Fiddes, J., Hoelzle, M. (2024). Climate change scenarios for glaciers and meltwater contribution on water availability in Central Asia. Annex 2 to: Diagnostic Analysis of the main issues of the cryosphere monitoring, observation, and research in Central Asia. GEF-UNDP-UNESCO Cryosphere Project, University of Fribourg, Almaty, Kazakhstan. 68 pp.

*Last update of the document: 11 November 2024*

*Front cover photo credit: Oziel Gómez/ Unsplash*

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

---

We extend our deepest appreciation to the Central Asian Regional Glaciological Center under the auspices of UNESCO (CARGC), Central Asian Institute for Applied Geosciences (CAIAG), Center for Research of Glaciers of the National Academy of Science of the Tajikistan, National University of Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulugbek, Hydrometeorological Research Institute, Center of Hydrometeorological Service of the Republic of Uzbekistan and University of Reading for their contribution and collaboration on the cryosphere monitoring in Central Asia.

The realization of this work would not have been possible without the financial support of GEF-UNDP-UNESCO Project on Cryosphere titled “Strengthening the Resilience of Central Asian Countries by Enabling Regional Cooperation to Assess Glacio-nival Systems to Develop Integrated Methods for Sustainable Development and Adaptation to Climate Change” funded by the Global Environmental Facility, implementing by UNDP and executing by UNESCO.

We thank the Cromo-Adapt, We-Act, ARCH, SPI-PAMIR, SPI Techno, and SPI Exploratory projects, implemented by the University of Fribourg, for their co-financing.

# CONTENTS

---

<b>CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>.5</b>
1.1. CURRENT STAGE OF THE CRYOSPHERE AND IT'S ROLE AS MAJOR WATER RESOURCE IN CENTRAL ASIA.	7
1.2. CLIMATE CHANGE IN CENTRAL ASIA AND IT'S EFFECT ON THE CRYOSPHERE	.9
1.3. WATER RESOURCES IN CENTRAL ASIA	.10
<b>CHAPTER 2. DATA &amp; METHODS</b>	<b>14</b>
2.1. DATA.	.14
2.2. GLACIER EVOLUTION MODEL AND CALIBRATION	15
2.3. MODEL UNCERTAINTY	21
<b>CHAPTER 3. FUTURE CLIMATE AND GLACIER CHANGES IN CENTRAL ASIA</b>	<b>24</b>
3.1. FUTURE CLIMATE CHANGES	24
3.2. FUTURE ICE VOLUME CHANGES	26
<b>CHAPTER 4. FUTURE CHANGES GLACIER METLWATER CONTRIBUTION IN CENTRAL ASIA</b>	<b>29</b>
4.1. ANNUAL MELTWATER CONTRIBUTION	.29
4.2. SEASONAL CHANGES IN MELTWATER CONTRIBUTION	.31
<b>CHAPTER 5. DISCUSSION</b>	<b>36</b>
5.1. RUNOFF CONTRIBUTION CHANGES	.36
5.2. CHANGING WATER RESOURCES UNDER CLIMATE CHANGE.	.38
<b>CHAPTER 6. CONCLUDING REMARKS</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>ANNEX</b>	<b>64</b>

# 1. INTRODUCTION

---

The cryosphere in Central Asia is a vital freshwater resource and a key indicator of climate change. However, understanding their historical and future impacts on the region's water balance is still limited (Grigori et al., 2006). The response of the cryosphere to climate change is affecting the cryosphere in various ways, leading to different meltwater contributions across the catchments, which significantly impact water availability for mountain communities and cascade downstream (Varis, 2014; Xenarios et al., 2019; Immerzeel et al., 2020; Nusser, 2017; Nusser et al., 2019). This in turn affects water availability for local mountain communities, which are already vulnerable to fluctuations in supply and climate-related hazards (Fay & Patel, 2008). Growing populations and water demand, increasing water stress (Pohl et al., 2017), further challenge the adaptive capacity of rural communities (Garcia & Brown, 2009; Nusser et al., 2019), which often have limited financial and institutional resources available (Manandhar et al., 2017).

Predictions of increased runoff and declining ice volumes underscore the urgent need for effective water management strategies. The warming trends observed in the Tien Shan Mountains since the 1970's (Aizen et al., 1995; Marchenko et al., 2007; Forsythe et al., 2017) further demonstrate the importance of adaptive measures to address changing hydrological patterns. The complex dynamics of the cryosphere require a comprehensive approach to water resource management in Central Asia. Continued efforts of monitoring and investment in process understanding are crucial for adapting to changing hydrological conditions and mitigating the impacts of climate change. Given the diverse water needs and challenges faced by Central Asian countries, a collaborative approach to improved future prediction is essential. Effective cryosphere monitoring should build on existing frameworks such as the Global Terrestrial Network for Glaciers (GTN-G) and the Global Terrestrial Network for Permafrost (GTN-P) and form the base for improved future scenarios. In turn, adaptation and mitigation strategies must be tailored to the specific needs of each region, country, and watershed. Recognizing the heterogeneity of water-related challenges in each country, transboundary cooperation should focus on targeted initiatives to address these specific issues.

For example, Kazakhstan, with its large lakes and rivers, struggles with uneven water distribution

and external dependencies (Karatayev et al., 2017). Kyrgyzstan relies heavily on cryospheric resources for irrigation (Hill et al., 2017; Saks et al., 2022; FAO, 2016), while Uzbekistan uses nearly 90% of the total water use for irrigation from snow and glacier melt in the Pamir and Tien Shan mountains (Zhumaeva, 2021). Turkmenistan faces increasing water scarcity due to climate change and relies heavily on the Amu Darya (Zonn, 2012). Tajikistan, a major contributor to the Amu Darya, depends primarily on its extensive cryosphere for water resources (Dukhovny et al., 2014). These country specific challenges will only increase in the future. Improving the understanding of the country's specific needs for improved water resource management, based on better scientific knowledge of expected changes in key water resources under ongoing and future climate change, will be of primary importance.

The Tien Shan and Pamir are uniquely situated with heterogeneous climatic environments, towering mountain ranges, and extensive cryospheric systems, including seasonal snow cover, glaciers and permafrost. The complex interactions between these elements have a significant impact on the region's water availability and create diverse hydrological regimes influenced by snowmelt, glacial melt and groundwater. Aizen et al. (1995) classified the rivers of the Tien Shan into four types based on their hydrographic regimes, characterized by different runoff formation processes: those fed by snowmelt, glacial melt, rainfall and groundwater, each with unique discharge characteristics.

Numerical models are commonly used to reconstruct past development and predict the future response of the different hydrological regimes. Simple models are suitable for better understanding the regional response of the cryosphere and the general patterns and changes in meltwater discharge under different hydrological regimes (Lutz et al., 2014; Immerzeel et al., 2015).

The main limitation of such computationally efficient models is the inability to simulate small-scale processes using inferred empirical or statistical relationships with fixed parameters (Rounce et al., 2014). Region-wide model applications often neglect relevant processes (e.g. sublimation, snow thermal insulation, soil porosity, refreezing of meltwater), which can have a significant impact on the representation of snow, firn and ice evolution over time (Kronenberg et al., 2021). Especially for non-linear and poorly

understood feedbacks, future predictions can be very uncertain. More detailed and complex models are useful to understand and quantify the role of individual processes linking the atmosphere, cryosphere and hydrosphere (e.g. Mölg et al., 2014). Such physically based models can better represent the chain of processes under climate change that affect the cryosphere and its meltwater release response, including in non-linear ways. However, they are often too computationally intensive, include a large number of calibration parameters which are poorly constrained, require large amounts of input data that are often unavailable for data-sparse regions, and thus their application remains limited to single sites or points. Thus, we often have to compromise between efficient, regionally applicable models that greatly simplify physical processes and focus on impact studies, or physically based models that have a complex and more accurate

representation of processes but are only applicable at single sites or even points. Combining both approaches is a key to capture the large-scale impacts of climate change- induced cryospheric changes and to improve our understanding of the future cryosphere change impact. In this report, we focus on glacier changes and their impacts on freshwater resources for the different Central Asian basins until the end of the century. We use the results of a strongly parameterized glacierevolution model. In the discussion, we relate the impact-oriented modeling results to more detailed process-oriented studies. In the next step, we use improved climate time series to predict changes in snow cover and snowmelt and hydrological models that incorporate glaciological and snow cover observations to better predict the future influence of the cryosphere on water availability for Central Asia.

## 1.1. CURRENT STAGE OF THE CRYOSPHERE AND IT'S ROLE AS MAJOR WATER RESOURCE IN CENTRAL ASIA

The cryosphere plays a critical role in shaping the hydrological regime of the region. As Central Asia faces the pressing challenges of climate change, a comprehensive understanding of its impacts on the cryosphere - and proactive adaptation and mitigation of these impacts - will be critical for sustainable water resource management and strengthening regional resilience.

Seasonal snow cover constitutes a major component of the annual water budget in Central Asia, contributing over 74% to the water availability in the Syr Darya and over 69% for Amu Darya (Armstrong et al., 2019). In comparison, rainfall accounts for only 23%, and glacier ice contributes 2 and 8% to annual runoff, respectively (Armstrong et al., 2019).

During the dry season from July to September, glacier melt becomes crucial. The contribution of glacier meltwater can increase dramatically during the growing season, rising to between 70% and 90% (Huss & Hock, 2018; Saks et al., 2021). The response of glaciers in Central Asia is heterogeneous both in space and time, leading to heterogeneous changes in meltwater contributions from one catchment to another, which complicates water availability for mountain communities and the downstream water users. This variability, combined with changing future glacier melt regimes and extreme weather events, poses significant challenges for water resource management.

Beyond glaciers, the Central Asian region is home to the largest area of mountain permafrost globally. This area encompasses approximately 3.5 million km<sup>2</sup>, representing about 15% of the total permafrost extent in the northern hemisphere (Gruber 2012). Changes in the thermal regime of permafrost can significantly affect local hydrology, land surface energy and moisture balances, land-atmosphere carbon exchange, ecosystems, and engineering infrastructure (Jin et al., 2021; Hjort et al., 2022).

■ **KAZAKHSTAN.** Climate change has altered patterns of solid precipitation, with studies showing varied effects across Kazakhstan. For example, while some areas like Altai and Tien Shan have experienced decreased snow cover duration and depth (Zhou et al., 2017), other studies indicate increased snow thickness in the Tien Shan from

1961 to 2014 (Li et al., 2019). Recent observations from the Kazakh Hydrometeorological Service reveal a 72% increase in snow depth and snow water equivalent (SWE) in the Altai Mountains over the last 30 years (Pimankina & Takibayev, 2021). In Kazakhstan, ground observations and remote sensing have shown that mountain glaciation has decreased by approximately 43% in area and 65% in volume over the past 65 years (Severskiy et al., 2016; Kapitsa et al., 2020; Kokarev et al., 2022; Gorbunov et al., 2018). Currently, the Central Tuyuksu Glacier in the Kishi Almaty River basin is the only glacier in Kazakhstan with continuous mass balance measurements, which have been conducted since 1957 (WGMS, 2020). The glacier has shown a predominantly negative mass balance ( $-0.42 \text{ m w.e. yr}^{-1}$ ) over the observation period from 1958 to 2022 (Kapitsa et al., 2020; WGMS, 2023). For the Tien Shan and Pamir regions, Barandun et al. (2021) reported an area-weighted mean mass balance of  $-0.23 \pm 0.37 \text{ m w.e. yr}^{-1}$  from 1999/00 to 2017/18. Permafrost temperature observations at three sites between 1974 and 1977 and again from 1990 to 2009 indicate warming in the Kazakh part of the Tien Shan mountains over the past 35 years, with temperature increases ranging from  $0.38^\circ\text{C}$  to  $0.68^\circ\text{C}$  at depths of 14 to 25 m (Marchenko et al., 2007; Lin et al., 2010; Severskiy, 2017). Warming trends in permafrost and air temperatures indicate that permafrost may reach near-zero temperatures within the next 20 to 30 years.

■ **KYRGYZSTAN.** The region's water resources heavily depend on snow, glaciers, and permafrost playing a critical role in providing water during dry summers. Aizen et al (1997) analyzed snow data from 110 stations for the period from 1940 to 1991 and found a decrease of mean annual snow depth of 8 - 14 cm at elevations below 2000 m A.S.L. and of 6 - 19 cm at higher elevations. Long-term mass balance data, crucial for assessing glacier health, reveal a negative trend in glacier mass, with various studies indicating annual losses ranging from  $-0.16$  to  $-0.61 \text{ m w.e. yr}^{-1}$  (Hoelzle et al., 2019; Barandun et al., 2018; Barandun et al., 2015; Hoelzle et al., 2017; Kenzhebayev et al., 2017; Kronenberg et al., 2016; Azisov et al., 2022). Despite some years showing positive balance due to short-term (or temporarily) favorable conditions, the overall trend across multiple monitored glaciers

points to increased ablation outpacing accumulation. Temperature measurements in two permafrost boreholes to a depth of 30 m indicate a warming of around 1°C from 1986 to 2024. New geophysical observations in Kyrgyzstan show an estimate of different ice contents for different landforms and will allow larger estimates of the frozen ice contents in the high mountain environments.

■ **TAJIKISTAN:** glaciers cover 8,400 km<sup>2</sup>, accounting for 6% of the country surface. The Fedchenko Glacier, one of the largest outside the polar regions (Lambrecht et al., 2014), has significantly thinned since 1928, with accelerated thinning rates since 2000 (Lambrecht et al., 2018). The estimated glacier-wide mass balance is negative, with a decline of -0.27 m w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup> from 2000 to 2011 and -0.51 m w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup> from 2011 to 2016 (Lambrecht et al., 2018). Tajikistan's cryosphere is responding heterogeneously to climate change, complicating the understanding of water availability and its future changes. The lack of direct observations hampers insights into local processes, emphasizing the need for enhanced monitoring and modeling to address rising water demand. Direct (in-situ) observations or data on permafrost in Tajikistan are scarce, and existing permafrost distribution maps are often based on simplified models that overlook key factors influencing permafrost occurrences (e.g. Gruber & Mergili, 2013; S. Gruber, 2012). In the Pamir, Mergili et al. (2012) identified about 84% of Gorno-Badakhshan Autonomous Oblast as potential permafrost, covering approximately 54 000 km<sup>2</sup>. Gorbunov (1978) suggested sporadic permafrost in the Pamir Alay starts at 3400 - 3800 m A.S.L., with continuous permafrost above 4000 m A.S.L. Nevertheless, permafrost research and monitoring

in Tajikistan is only now in its initial stage, therefore much more efforts are needed to reach a good understanding of permafrost distribution and ice content.

■ **UZBEKISTAN.** Recent studies indicate a decline in snow cover for Uzbekistan, supported by satellite data analyses showing reduced snow extent and duration over the past decades. Historical precipitation trends also suggest a slight decrease, leading to expectations of reduced snow cover, especially in lower- elevation watersheds (TNC Uzbekistan, 2016). Glaciers in Uzbekistan, primarily located in the Kashkadarya, Pskem, and Surkhandarya basins, have shrunk significantly. Between 1957 and 2010, glacier area decreased by 14.4% to 56.7%, with many smaller glaciers forming as larger glaciers disintegrate (Kudyshkin et al., 2014). Remote sensing-based measurements indicate substantial reductions in ice volume across these basins. Current research includes ongoing monitoring of the Barkrak Middle Glacier, the only continuously observed glacier in Uzbekistan, which has shown an accelerating trend in mass loss (Hoelzle et al., 2017; CICADA project, University of Fribourg & ALM-202107010).

The heterogeneous response of the cryosphere in Central Asia will require continuous monitoring to assess its impact on future water resources in Central Asia, and therefore how climate change will affect water management in each country. It is vital to prioritize ongoing research and monitoring to refine predictions and address uncertainties concerning cryospheric components. Collaborative international efforts will be a key in developing comprehensive strategies to safeguard water resources, ecosystems, and communities throughout Central Asia.

## 1.2. CLIMATE CHANGE IN CENTRAL ASIA AND IT'S EFFECT ON THE CRYOSPHERE

Central Asia, comprising Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan, is characterized by arid to semi-arid climates with significant temperature extremes and complex topography. Altitudes range from below 150m A.S.L. to over 7000m A.S.L. in the Tien Shan and Pamir ranges (Chub, 2007). Average temperatures vary widely, with January minima as low as -54°C in Kazakhstan and July maxima as high as 50 °C in the Kyzylkum Desert. Annual precipitation also varies where the plains receive around 250 mm, the foothills - 250-500 mm, and some mountain areas up to 2000 mm (Chub, 2007). Approximately 80 million people depend on water from the region. Over 25,000 glaciers contribute significantly to the flow of the Amu Darya and Syr Darya rivers and serve as indicators of climate change (Barandun et al., 2020; Kriegel et al., 2013). Studies using Global Climate Models (GCMs) indicate a significant trend of atmospheric warming in Central Asia (3 °C to 11.4 °C by 2100) and a decrease in precipitation, particularly in the southeastern part of the region (Ozturk et al., 2012; Ozturk et al., 2017). Downscaled climate scenarios suggest temperature increases of up to 7°C in northern areas of Central Asia and

changing precipitation patterns, with wetter winters in the north and drier summers overall (Mannig et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2014). Research has also examined the role of atmospheric circulation in precipitation extremes under global warming. Zhao et al. (2018) found that the subtropical westerly jet could shift southward, potentially increasing summer precipitation in northern regions but introducing uncertainty elsewhere. Meanwhile, Reyers et al. (2013) projected a decrease in annual precipitation in the Aksu River basin. The negative impacts of global warming on glaciers have raised concerns about water availability, with projections of significant shrinkage affecting the Tien Shan and Pamir regions (Sorg et al., 2015; Kure et al., 2013). However, predictions of future glacier changes remain highly uncertain for the region.

In summary, Central Asia faces severe consequences from climate change, including glacier retreat, water scarcity, and desertification, with implications for society and ecosystems. Addressing these challenges requires improved water management, sustainable regional collaboration, and effective climate risk management strategies.

## 1.3. WATER RESOURCES IN CENTRAL ASIA

**Figure 1.1.1.** Schematic view of surface water resources and withdrawal in Central Asia



Source: Credits: Zoi Environment Network, 2010

Central Asia relies heavily on freshwater resources for socioeconomic development, with countries interconnected by transboundary water resources (Abdullaev et al., 2019). Approximately 6,000 rivers originate in mountainous regions, primarily fed by snow and glacier melt, particularly from the Pamir, Hindu Kush, and Tien Shan ranges (Dukhovny et al., 2014; Djumaboev et al., 2019; Armstrong et al., 2019). The Amu Darya and Syr Darya are the region's major rivers, contributing significantly to the Aral Sea basin (Table 1.3.1), but their inflow to the Aral Sea has decreased by about 90% due to increasing water demand and climate change (Safronova, 2009; Djumaboev et al., 2019). Tajikistan and Kyrgyzstan are the primary contributors to these rivers, relying heavily

on glacial melt and snowpack (Saks et al., 2022; Aizen et al., 1995). While snow and glacier melt are well-studied, the role of permafrost as a water resource remains underexplored. Water resources in Kazakhstan are dominated by surface water, with significant dependence on external supplies (Karatayev et al., 2017a). Agriculture consumes about 75% of water resources (Dostai, 2012; Medeu et al., 2020). Kyrgyzstan has over 3,500 rivers, with significant water use for irrigation (almost 95%) and limited industrial and domestic consumption (Osmonbetova, 2021). 84% of the total water consumption in Tajikistan is used for agriculture (Toderich, 2004). Turkmenistan primarily depends on the Amu Darya, with water intake governed by international agreements. Agriculture is the

largest consumer, accounting for over 90% of water usage (Zonn, 2012). Uzbekistan relies on both the Amu Darya and Syr Darya, with irrigation making up about 86% of water consumption (State water cadastre of Uzbekistan, 2014). Afghanistan is a key contributor to the Amu Darya's water supply, with the river originating from Lake Zorkul within its borders. However, due to limited agricultural and industrial development, largely resulting from decades of the conflict, Afghanistan

has historically used only a small share of the river's water compared to its Central Asian neighbors. In 2022, Afghanistan initiated the Qosh Tepa Canal project, raising significant concerns — particularly from Uzbekistan, where impacts on the agriculture sector are anticipated. Overall, the distribution and management of water resources in Central Asia are complex, and influenced by climate variability, economic development, and inter-country agreements.

**Table 1.3.1.** Composition and volume of the main surface water resources of Central Asia


Country	Amu Darya basin km <sup>3</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	Syr Darya basin km <sup>3</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	Aral Sea basin km <sup>3</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	%
Kazakhstan	-	4,50	4,50	3,90
Kyrgyzstan	1,90	27,40	29,30	25,30
Tajikistan	62,90	1,10	64,00	55,40
Turkmenistan	2,78	-	2,78	2,40
Uzbekistan	4,70	4,14	8,84	7,60
Afghanistan	6,18	-	6,18	5,40
<b>Central Asia</b>	<b>78,46</b>	<b>37,14</b>	<b>115,60</b>	<b>100,00</b>

Source: Safronova, 2009

Climate change poses a threat to glacial ice and water resources (Fig. 1.1.2). Rapid glacier change will affect the hydrological regime of mountain catchments: as modeled by Huss and Hock (2018), annual runoff is expected to reach a maximum (due to increased melt), followed by a decrease as glaciers retreat. The timing of such “peak water” occurrence is controlled by the present-day amounts of ice (both in absolute terms and relative to the catchment area). Predictions suggest increased cryosphere

melt runoff in spring and summer, in combination with heavy rainfalls this can lead to glacial lake outburst floods, debris flows, and landslides causing damage to settlements and agriculture (Kaser et al., 2010; Huss and Hock, 2018; Bolch et al., 2011; Erokhin et al., 2018). Conversely, runoff during dry summer months may decrease steadily by the end of the century due to decreasing ice volume (Hagg et al., 2007; Hagg et al., 2013; Huss and Hock, 2018; Kure et al., 2013).

**Figure 1.1.2.** Schematic view of expected runoff changes under ongoing climate change: (a) affecting total glacier melt water contribution and (b) seasonality in water availability



Source: Credits: IPCC 2021

These predictions underscore the need for effective water management and monitoring of glacier mass balance in the region. Ongoing efforts since 2010 aim to re-establish in situ glacier monitoring,

contributing to validating modeling studies and regional assessments of glacier behavior and its impact on freshwater resources in Central Asia (Hoelzle et al., 2017, 2019; Schöne et al., 2013).

▶ DATA & METHODS



## 2. DATA & METHODS

---

Here, we rely on existing regional-scale glacier mass balance, glacier retreat and runoff model results that were achieved using the Global Glacier Evolution Model (GloGEM), developed at the University of Fribourg and the ETH Zürich. GloGEM is a process-based model that accounts for all glaciological processes and aims at a worldwide application, even though resolving all individual glaciers specifically (Huss&Hock, 2015, 2018; Zekollari et al., 2019;

Compagno et al., 2022). For the present analysis, we analyze the GloGEM output related to the study by Bossons et al. (2023) for the RGI regions 13 and 14, which include the Tien Shan and Pamir mountain ranges. Below we summarise the data and methods used. A detailed description of the model can be found in the respective publications (Huss&Hock, 2015, 2018; Bosson et al., 2023).

### 2.1. DATA

The **initial ice extent**, which refers to approximately 2000, is provided by the Randolph Glacier Inventory

v.6.0 (RGI, 2017). The surface hypsometry for each glacier is derived from the intersection of the outlines with the SRTM digital elevation models (DEMs, Jarvis et al., 2008). Each glacier is discretized into surface elevation bands of 10 m. The bedrock topography is available from a consensus of five ice thickness models (Farinotti et al., 2019). These ice thickness models follow the approach of estimating of ice volume fluxes and the principles of flow dynamics. Evaluated at the global scale, the calculated thicknesses are in good agreement with observations (Farinotti et al., 2019).

Hugonnet et al. (2021) provided **glacier-specific observations of ice volume change** between 2000 and 2020 using a multi-source data approach to assess global glacier mass loss. The authors relied primarily on Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) satellite elevation data to calculate geodetic mass balances for glaciers in the study region for the period from 2000 to 2020. While satellite data, including ASTER and CryoSat-2, provide valuable elevation measurements, temporal coverage can be inconsistent, leading to potential gaps in the analysis (Nakamura et al., 2006). In addition, the resolution and quality of satellite imagery can affect the accuracy of these measurements, resulting in significant uncertainties in volume change estimates based on this dataset, especially for shorter time intervals (Hugonnet et al., 2021). Nevertheless, this

dataset remains one of the most consistent and complete for assessing glacier volume change over the past two decades on a global scale.

Surface mass balance observations from **in situ measurements** on individual glaciers provided by the World Glacier Monitoring Service (WGMS, 2022) were used for model validation. For Central Asia, however, these observations remain severely limited in space and time, making robust model validation difficult for the Tien Shan and Pamir, especially considering the complete lack of observational data for certain sub-regions (Barandun et al., 2021).

For the future forecast, we use **climate time series** of gridded monthly 2m air temperature and precipitation from 56 climatic model chains (based on 13 different global climate models, or GCMs) from the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) (Eyring et al., 2016). The GCM results are based on three different Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) describing future climate forcing due to different greenhouse gas emissions (SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, SSP5-8.5) (Meinshausen et al., 2020). The scenarios are based on a range of projections of future population growth, technological development and societal responses (Meinshausen et al., 2011).

In the **SSP1-2.6 scenario**, which represents a low-emission pathway to achieve significant climate mitigation, radiative forcing is projected to increase by about 2.6 W/m<sup>2</sup> by 2100 compared to pre-industrial levels (IPCC, 2021). This scenario emphasizes sustainable development, strong climate policies, and a transition to renewable energy sources (Riahi et al., 2017).

The **SSP2-4.5 scenario** represents a medium greenhouse gas emission pathway. It assumes an increase in radiative forcing of about  $4.5 \text{ W/m}^2$  by 2100 compared to pre-industrial levels (IPCC, 2021). This scenario focuses on sustainability while facing moderate climate mitigation and adaptation challenges (Riahi et al., 2017).

In the **SSP5-8.5 scenario**, which represents a high emissions' pathway, radiative forcing is projected to increase by about  $8.5 \text{ W/m}^2$  by 2100 relative to pre-industrial levels (IPCC, 2021). This scenario is characterized by rapid economic growth, heavy reliance on fossil fuels, and limited efforts to mitigate climate change, leading to significant greenhouse gas emissions (Riahi et al., 2017).

The climate time series are bias-corrected using gridded monthly temperature and precipitation data from the ERA5 reanalysis, which provides a comprehensive representation of past climate and weather conditions (Hersbach et al., 2020). For each glacier, additive (for temperature) and multiplicative

(for precipitation) monthly biases are calculated between the closest ERA5 and the corresponding GCM grid cell for a given glacier for the period 1980 to 2020. These biases are then adjusted to account for the altitude distribution of the glaciers using constant precipitation and temperature gradients. During the projection period, the calculated biases - which are assumed to remain constant over time - are superimposed on the global climate model (GCM) series. To further refine the data, GCM air temperature values were adjusted to account for discrepancies in annual variability between the ERA5 and GCM time series (Huss and Hock, 2015). This adjustment is critical to ensure the validity of calibrated melt model parameters used in GCM-driven projections. However, a significant limitation is the inherent uncertainty in the variability represented by the reanalysis product, which can introduce biases into the corrected GCM time series and ultimately contribute to high uncertainty in the projections.

## 2.2. GLACIER EVOLUTION MODEL AND CALIBRATION

Projections of future glacier volume change and meltwater release were made using the Global Glacier Evolution Model (GloGEM) (e.g. Hock and Huss, 2015, 2018, Compagno et al., 2021, 2022, Bosson et al., 2023). GloGEM is a model for calculating mass balance and associated geometric changes for each of the world's glaciers. The model describes the main processes that determine the climatic mass balance (Huss and Hock, 2015). The model operates on 10m elevation bands at the scale of every individual glacier in a region and has a monthly time resolution, including a parameterization of sub-monthly air temperature variability (Huss&Hock, 2015). Climatic mass balance (CMB) refers to the net change in the mass of a glacier over a given period of time, typically assessed on an annual or seasonal basis. It is a critical indicator of a glacier's response to climate variability and is used here to model glacier volume change. CMB can be expressed as the sum of all accumulation and ablation processes. Accumulation processes are all processes that add mass to a glacier. Ablation processes are all processes that remove mass from the glacier. In Central Asia, accumulation is mainly by snowfall, snow drift, refreezing of meltwater, and avalanches. The dominant ablation process is melting, followed

by sublimation in more radiation- dominated areas. Melting and sublimation rates are determined by air temperature, solar radiation, surface albedo, and the vapour gradient between the surface and the atmosphere (Cuffy and Patterson, 2010).

Melting is calculated using a traditional temperature index model that distinguishes between snow melting and ice melting using two different empirical factors (Hock, 2003). Solid precipitation as a function of altitude and air temperature is used to model accumulation (Huss et al., 2009). The model is thus relatively simple and relies heavily on the relationship between air temperature and melt being linear and constant over time. Fundamentally, snow and ice begin to melt when the air temperature is above  $0^\circ\text{C}$ . Melting rates are proportional to air temperature, scaled by an empirical factor (degree-day factor). These factors differ depending on whether the surface is snow or ice. Typically, the degree-day factor is higher for ice, meaning that ice melts faster than snow at the same temperature due to lower albedo and thus higher energy intake. Solid precipitation occurs when the air temperature near the surface is above a certain threshold, typically  $1.5^\circ\text{C}$ . Wherever this condition is met on the glacier, precipitation is recorded as accumulation. Refreezing

of liquid water in sub-zero snow or firn is modelled based on heat conduction and latent heat exchange (Huss&Hock, 2015). Other processes, such as mass gain or loss by avalanches are not explicitly resolved but will implicitly be taken into account via calibration on glacier-specific data. Radiation effects beyond the distinction of ice and snow melt are neglected. All processes and associated feedback are assumed to have a stable relation and respond like at present-day conditions over the next 100 years. The model does not account for basal mass balance. While GloGEM has a module accounting for the effect and the spatio-temporal dynamics of supraglacial debris (Compagno et al., 2022), this model version was not used here. Glacier surging is also not considered. For the determination of the degree-day factor and the refreezing model, the surface type (snow/firn/ice) is required. Following Hock and Huss (2015), at the start of the modelling process, the surface type is set at the end of summer by setting the firn line along the median glacier elevation, with bare ice below. Throughout the modeling year, the surface type is updated monthly for each elevation band based on the climatic mass balance. If the cumulative balance is positive, the surface is assigned as snow. Conversely, if it is negative, indicating that all the snow has melted, the surface is classified as bare ice or firn. Firn is classified if the average annual balance over the last five years is positive, otherwise, the surface is classified as ice. This method effectively approximates spatial and temporal variations in firn area without requiring a full firn compaction model (Huss and Hock, 2015).

The dynamic response of each glacier to changes in mass is simulated using an empirical relationship that describes thickness change as a function of normalized elevation range (Huss et al., 2010). At the end of each mass balance year, the model adjusts thickness, surface elevation and glacier extent depending on that year's computed total mass change of the respective glacier. Previous assessments indicate that this parametrized approach to model three-dimensional glacier evolution agrees well with more complex ice-dynamical modeling (Huss et al., 2010). For more information on the model description and parameterization of the different processes taken into account in GloGEM, see Huss and Hock (2015).

Runoff is computed as the sum of snow-/icemelt, liquid precipitation minus refreezing over a virtual catchment area that corresponds to the initial extent of each individual glacier. At the beginning of the modeling period, this catchment is 100% glacierized but evolves to a basin with partial glacier cover during

retreat. This approach thus allows considering a stable area for runoff generation, i.e. the headwater of large streams, but does not make direct statements about larger-scale hydrological processes, also involving vegetation and groundwater dynamics, which could only be tackled with a full hydrological model.

One of the primary challenges facing regional to global glacier models is their calibration. This process is essential because neither the downscaled meteorological variables accurately represent site-specific conditions, nor can the glacier models effectively capture the complex processes affecting each glacier with precision (Huss and Hock, 2015). Most global glacier models have relied on in situ mass balance records as the main source of calibration data (Radić and Hock, 2011; Giesen and Oerlemans, 2013). In certain studies, model parameters were further refined to align with estimates of regional mass changes derived from extrapolated glacier observations (Radić et al., 2014). However, calibrating such a model for Central Asia using in situ mass balance data from individual glaciers presents challenges. Direct observations are often limited to relatively small glaciers, while regions with significant ice cover are frequently under-sampled (Huss and Hock, 2015). GloGEM has thus been calibrated for each glacier individually to glacier-specific remote sensing observations of ice volume change between 2000 and 2019 (Hugonnet et al., 2021) according to a multi-step procedure (Huss and Hock, 2015). If the modelled glacier-wide specific mass balance agrees with the specific balance provided in Hugonnet et al., (2021) within a threshold set to  $\pm 0.1$  m w.e. a<sup>-1</sup>, the meteorological forcing series is considered to describe the climatic conditions for this glacier well. The calibration is thus interpreted as a second downscaling step that removes the effect of inherent imprecisions in the regional-climate-forcing products (Bosson et al., 2023). Modeled mass balance components have been validated in detail with independent observations (for example, mass balance, area change, Huss and Hock, 2015, 2018). The model reproduces independent datasets of observed mass balance worldwide (WGMS, 2022), for both annual glacier mass loss and seasonal components and elevation dependencies (Bosson et al., 2023).

Once the model has been calibrated for the period 2000 to 2020, it is subsequently run with ERA5 reanalysis data from 1980 to 2020 and then with downscaled GCM data until 2100. Mass-balance changes are then assessed for all regions

over the period from 1980 to 2100. Projections of future glacier retreat by GloGEM provide changes in glacier mass balance, area, volume, and water runoff at monthly/annual resolution and for every single in the investigated region (Tien Shan/Pamier) until 2100.

Catchment boundaries in order to determine the glacier meltwater contribution are delineated according to hydrological routing on the surface

DEM (Table 2.2.1 and 2.2.2, Ehlschlaeger (1989). Catchments include all the largest rivers contributing to Amu Darya and Syr Darya, as well as other major independent rivers in Central Asia (such as Zeravshan) and the endorheic basins of lakes Issyk-Kul in Kyrgyzstan and Karakul in Tajikistan. To improve robustness of the results, for each catchment we took the median result of the GloGEM simulation over 13 GCMs.


**Table 2.2.1.** Description of each catchment used to calculate ice volume change and glacier meltwater contribution to total river runoff.

ID	Name	Description	Contents	Other catchments upstream that contribute to the meltwater contribution of this catchment
01	Amudarya	Amu Darya downstream of Kofarnihon inflow	Other: Only Afghan territory	02, 03, 04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15
02	Kofarnihon	Kofarnihon	Glaciers: Yakarcha; Cities: Dushanbe	
03	Panj	Panj upstream of confluence with Vakhsh	Cities: Kulob	04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10
04	Vanchob	Vanchob	Glaciers: Medvezhy	
05	Panj	Panj upstream of Vanchob inflow	Glaciers: Yazgulem	06, 07, 08, 09, 10
06	Bartang	Bartang	Cities: Murghab; Other: Sarez lake	
07	Gunt	Gunt	Glaciers: #457; Cities: Khorog	
08	Panj	Panj upstream of Gunt inflow	Cities: Ishkashim	09, 10
09	Wakhan	Wakhan	Other: Wakhan corridor	
10	Pamir	Pamir	Other: Wakhan corridor	
11	Vakhsh	Vakhsh upstream of confluence with Panj	Other: Nurek reservoir	12, 13, 14, 15
12	Khingov	Khingov	Glaciers: Garmo	
13	Vakhsh	Vakhsh upstream of Khingov inflow	Other: Alai ridge south	14, 15
14	Muksu	Muksu	Glaciers: Fedchenko, Kyzylsu; Other: Pik Lenin south	
15	Kyzylsu	Kyzylsu	Glaciers: Abramov; Other: Alai valley, Pik Lenin north	
16	Syrdarya	Syr Darya at Chardara reservoir	Glaciers: Barkrak; Cities: Khujand, Tashkent; Other: Chardara reservoir	17, 18, 19, 20
17	Syrdarya	Syr Darya at Kairakum reservoir	Cities: Kokand; Other: Ferghana valley, Kairakum reservoir, Alai ridge north	18, 19, 20
18	Karadarya	Kara Darya Naryn upstream of	Cities: Uzgen, Andijon	
19	Naryn	confluence with Kara Darya	Cities: Naryn; Other: Toktogul reservoir	20
20	Karasay	Karasay	Glaciers: Batysh Sook, Grigoriev, #354, Sary-Tor, Bordu; Other: Kumtor mine	
21	Zeravshan	Zeravshan	Glaciers: Zeravshan, GGP	
22	Chuy	Chuy	Glaciers: Golubin; Cities: Bishkek	
23	Kaskelen	Kaskelen	Glaciers: Tuyuksu; Cities: Almaty	
24	Karakul	Karakul	Glaciers: Zulmart, Kon-Chukurbashi; Other: Karakul lake	
25	Issykkul	Issyk-Kul	Glaciers: #599, Kara-Batkak, Turgen- Aksu; Other: Issyk-Kul lake	

**Table 2.2.2.** Total catchment area, total catchment area including upstream catchments. Glaciated area in each catchment, glaciated area including upstream catchments and glaciated area in the catchment as well as including upstream catchments in percentage are shown here.

ID	Name	Total area (km <sup>2</sup> )	Cumulative total area (km <sup>2</sup> )	Glacierized area (km <sup>2</sup> )	Cumulated glacierized area (km <sup>2</sup> )	Glacierized area [%]	Cumulated glacierized area [%]
01	Amudarya	41 363	208 328	98	9714	0,24	4,66
02	Kofarnihon	11 347	11 347	93	93	0,82	0,82
03	Panj	48 416	116 795	741	5538	1,53	4,74
04	Vanchob	2096	2096	342	342	16,34	16,34
05	Panj	8469	66 283	449	4455	5,31	6,72
06	Bartang	28 014	28 014	1684	1684	6,01	6,01
07	Gunt	13 690	13 690	651	651	4,76	4,76
08	Panj	6812	16 110	620	1671	9,10	10,37
09	Wakhan	4755	4755	725	725	15,25	15,25
10	Pamir	4544	4544	326	326	7,17	7,17
11	Vakhsh	9808	38 823	0	3985	0,00	10,26
12	Khingov	6577	6577	843	843	12,82	12,82
13	Vakhsh	7186	22 437	384	3142	5,34	14,00
14	Muksu	6920	6920	2177	2177	31,46	31,46
15	Kyzylsu	8330	8330	580	580	6,97	6,97
16	Syrdarya	44 379	168 648	154	1852	0,35	1,10
17	Syrdarya	40 302	124 269	603	1698	1,50	1,37
18	Karadarya	23 198	23 198	78	78	0,34	0,34
19	Naryn	58 112	60 769	707	1017	1,22	1,67
20	Karasay	2657	2657	310	310	11,67	11,67
21	Zeravshan	11 741	11 741	562	562	4,79	4,79
22	Chuy	26 886	26 886	358	358	1,33	1,33
23	Kaskelen	3868	3868	40	40	1,04	1,04
24	Karakul	4467	4467	384	384	8,59	8,59
25	Issykkul	21 934	21 934	502	502	2,29	2,29

**Figure 2.2.1.** Figure showing the geography of the catchments with their ID provided in Table 2.2.1.



## 2.3. MODEL UNCERTAINTY

Our results on future glacier retreat are subject to considerable uncertainty. While combined modeling and remote sensing studies have reconstructed region-wide cryosphere response to climate change for the past (e.g., Barandun et al., 2021; Gruber, 2012; Mankin & Diffenbaugh, 2015; Van Tricht et al., 2021; Farinotti et al., 2015), future prediction relies on models based on today's cryosphere data. For regions with little data, large uncertainties persist and result interpretation which has to be handled with care. A dominant source of uncertainty for cryosphere modeling is meteorological forcing. Future projections of cryosphere changes rely on predictions of climate drivers from downscaled Global Climate Models (GCMs, Hock et al., 2019). The spatial resolution of GCMs (100-300km) can lead to considerable biases in modeled climate data for topographically complex areas where surface and subsurface conditions may vary strongly over short horizontal distances (Gubler et al., 2011). Some Regional Climate Models (RCMs) have been recently developed for Central Asia to derive regional climate fields at a finer resolution of several tens of km (Ozturk et al., 2012; Russo et al., 2019). Unlike the understanding of the large-scale circulation system, assessment of regional- and local-scale climate conditions remains very difficult (Zandler et al., 2019). Continuous high-resolution (10-100m) climate fields are required to simulate local slope-scale processes. Uncertainties remain thus large, especially considering precipitation. In this context, re-analyses produced by atmospheric models, with spatial resolutions typically in the range of 10– 50 km, represent an essential data source to fill the lack of current climate information and correct potential biases in future simulations (e.g., Pereira-Cardenal et al., 2011; Maussion et al., 2014). Mostly, these require preliminary downscaling to increase the representativeness of local scale patterns, using ground station data. The challenge is the lack of in situ meteorological data to assess the quality and biases of representative climate forcing (Unger-Shayesteh et al., 2013).

It is now a priority to produce adequate climate time series for past, present, and future modeling. Inverse methods and proxy parameters such as snow cover (Molotch, 2010; Margulis et al., 2015; Aalstad et al., 2018) or glacier mass balance (Immerzeel et al., 2015) have the potential to improve debiasing (e.g., precipitation field). Ensemble-based data assimilation approaches have the advantage of directly quantifying uncertainties related to the forcing, samples, and models (Fiddes et al., 2019).

These research lines need to be pushed forward for Central Asia.

Bosson et al. (2023) discussed the impact of uncertainties stemming from (1) greenhouse gas emission scenarios; (2) GCM projections; (3) data on initial glacier area, ice thickness, and past glacier changes; and (4) simplifications in the glacier model and calibration procedure. They identified five key elements contributing to the overall uncertainty in their glacier evolution model and re-ran it with conservative assumptions to examine their influence on the final results. The experiments focused on the effects of (1) uncertainty in glacier-specific geodetic mass balance; (2) the chosen calibration period (2000–2019 versus 2000–2009 or 2010–2019); (3) the precipitation correction factor for each glacier; (4) melt factors for snow and ice; and (5) uncertainty in initial glacier volume (Farinotti et al., 2019; Milan et al., 2022). The individual impacts on future glacier ice volume were combined into an integrated uncertainty using the root sum of squares. To assess uncertainties in model calibration data, Bosson et al. (2023) assumed these uncertainties to be independent among glaciers. However, they noted that uncertainties in glacier models toward the end of the twenty-first century are constrained by the complete loss of smaller glaciers, regardless of model assumptions (Bosson et al., 2023). Regional results indicated that differences in SSP forcing and the spread among GCMs using the same SSP are the dominant sources of uncertainty in projected glacier volume evolution for the century, aligning with findings from previous studies (Marzeion et al., 2020). Additional uncertainties, particularly systematic errors are difficult to evaluate at the global scale, and may require further investigation, such as downscaling of meteorological variables and addressing parameter equifinality in model calibration (Rounce et al., 2023; Compagno et al., 2021).

It is important to recognize the limitations of future glacier mass balance models, particularly those using temperature index approaches. These models often use simplified process representations that do not take into account the non-linear responses of glaciers and their feedback mechanisms to changing atmospheric conditions. For example, as air temperatures increase, firn exposure during the ablation season is likely to increase due to complete depletion of the snowpack. This shift can alter the surface albedo, refreezing capacity and thus affect melt rates to some degree. Different mass balance and firn processes related to changing firn surface

conditions are so far not well understood and thus difficult to account for in future prediction (Machguth et al., 2023, Kronenberg et al., 2022). Similarly, the spatial and temporal heterogeneities of the albedo are not considered (Naegeli et al., 2019, Volery et al., accepted). In addition, changes in climate regimes may alter the sensitivity of the mass balance, shift glacier responses from sublimation-dominated to melt-dominated ablation, or alter refreezing patterns. The increasing frequency of precipitation events on glaciers adds another layer of complexity, as the thermal effects of these precipitation events are also overlooked in such models. The simplification and omission of many complex processes and feedbacks can drastically alter the response of glaciers to climate change.

Many of these interactions are not fully understood, nor represented in current modeling efforts, leading to significant uncertainties in future scenarios of ice volume changes and glacier meltwater contributions. Consequently, the results of these models should be interpreted with caution and as trends rather than precise quantifications of change. New datasets of glacier thickness, derived from multi-model approaches and validated with extensive local observations (Rounce et al., 2023, Farinotti et al., 2019, Welty et al., 2020), have reduced uncertainties in the knowledge of current glacier thickness and, consequently, of future bedrock topography compared to earlier assessments.

Calculating the contribution of glacier meltwater to various catchments necessitates careful consideration of catchment delineation and river routing, which may not align with current surface

topography. Inaccurate routing can lead to significant errors in estimating glacier meltwater contributions for specific basins. For instance, the Fedchenko Glacier, one of the largest glaciers outside the polar regions, exemplifies this complexity. It is crucial to understand the future drainage basin for meltwater to accurately forecast meltwater inputs into the river systems of the Pamir region.

Currently, Tanymas Lake, formed by an ice dam of glacier Tanymas-5, receives meltwater from the Fedchenko Glacier. With an area of 1 km<sup>2</sup>, this lake has the potential to drain into two distinct catchments: to the east towards the Panj River or to the north towards the Vakhsh River. This situation complicates the attribution of ice volumes and runoff to respective catchments, particularly in future projections. While it is likely that the ice dam will retreat significantly before the Fedchenko Glacier, both the glacier and lake levels are expected to decline. Although the immediate impact on the attribution of ice volumes is minimal, the relative significance of the Fedchenko Glacier's volume is expected to increase until 2100, as other glaciers in the region recede more rapidly.

There are many limitations associated with future modeling, but the glacier evolution model used here is a first step towards predicting the future response of glaciers to climate change and improving understanding of the coming changes in the cryosphere and their impact on water availability. Cryosphere-related issues will become increasingly relevant in the context of future climate change and require a better understanding of cryospheric processes for improved modeling through long-term observations.

# FUTURE CLIMATE AND GLACIER CHANGES IN CENTRAL ASIA



## 3. FUTURE CLIMATE AND GLACIER CHANGES IN CENTRAL ASIA

---

### 3.1. FUTURE CLIMATE CHANGES

Figure 3.1.1 and 3.1.2 show the temperature and precipitation change by the end of the century for the three different scenarios published in Siegfried et al., (2024)

■ **KAZAKHSTAN:** Surface air temperatures in Kazakhstan are projected to rise in all seasons, with mid-century increases of 2.3-2.6°C under the SSP2-4.5 scenario and 3.0-3.5°C under the SSP5-8.5 scenario. By the end of century, these increases could reach 3.3-3.9°C and 6.2-7.3°C, respectively. The highest emissions scenario predicts an increase of over 6 °C, emphasizing the need for global emissions control to mitigate warming. Warming is expected to be more pronounced in the northern regions, and the likelihood of heat waves will rise significantly under high emissions scenarios (The 8th National Communication of Kazakhstan to the UNFCCC, 2022). Precipitation forecasts indicate an average increase of 7-8% by mid- century, potentially rising to 11-14% by the century's end. However, changes will vary regionally, in the west the increase is expected smaller than 10%, while in the southeast larger than 20%. Seasonal variations show significant winter increases (20-35%) and summer decreases (12% on average), with extreme precipitation likely to intensify (The 8th National Communication of Kazakhstan to the UNFCCC, 2022).

■ **KYRGYZSTAN:** On average for Kyrgyzstan in 2100, a temperature increase of 6.1°C is expected under the intermediate (SSP2-4.5) scenario and 4.7 °C under the mild scenario (SSP1-2.6). Under the pessimistic scenarios (SSP5-8.5) heat waves and other climate extremes will cause a severe threat to the ecosystem and threaten the livelihood in the affected regions considerably (Abdyrasuova et al., 2011). It has been estimated that heat waves will increase and 20-30% of summers will be significantly warmer in a 2 °C world while in a 4 °C world 50-80% of summers will

be significantly hotter for the last quarter of the 21st Century (Reyer et al., 2015). Future projections on precipitation suggest that central and, especially, eastern Kyrgyzstan might become wetter (Reyer et al., 2015). The changes in precipitation in a multi-model run are far more pronounced during the winter (DJF) than during summer (JJA) (Reyer et al., 2015). Though analysis of precipitation records across country suggest precipitation increase in spring rather than winter, though the increases are insignificant (Third National Communication of the Kyrgyz republic, 2016). Apart from changes in precipitation Kyrgyzstan will probably experience increased aridity in lower lying areas due to increased evapotranspiration as a result of rising temperatures.


■ **TAJIKISTAN:** average annual temperatures are projected to rise by 2°C by 2050, with significant increases expected between December and August (Climate Change Knowledge Portal WB). Historical analyses show a steady increase in air temperatures at a rate of 0.2 to 0.25°C per decade over the past 60 years, particularly in the western regions, while the eastern areas the temperature increase is less pronounced. From 2011 to 2041, warming trends do not significantly differ across emission scenarios. However, from mid-century (2041-2070), temperatures are expected to rise notably, ranging from +1.1 to +2.8°C under RCP8.5, with a significant increase by the end of the century (2071-2099) projected between +4.8 and +6.6°C (Aalto et al., 2017). Precipitation changes are less clear, with models offering conflicting predictions. Generally, a decrease in precipitation is anticipated in the western part of the country, while the mountainous eastern region may see slight increases, though these changes are minor due to already low annual totals (less than 100 mm) (Aalto et al., 2017). Climate warming is expected to lead to earlier snowmelt in spring, increasing the risk of flooding (Xenarios et al., 2019).

■ **TURKMENISTAN:** Analyzing climate change trends in Turkmenistan reveals spatial variability and data interpretation challenges. Research indicates that Central Asian deserts may become less arid due to global warming, potentially leading to a southward shift and intensification of westerly cyclones, reminiscent of early Holocene conditions (Lioubimtseva & Cole, 2006). Climate models predict temperature increases of 1-2°C by 2030–2050, but precipitation projections remain variable and uncertain (Lioubimtseva & Cole, 2006). The REMO 0406 scenario simulation suggests a significant temperature increase of 0.51°C per decade from 2016 to 2055, with expected decreased snow cover and increased evaporation along the Karakum Canal, resulting in significant reductions in available water resources (Duan et al., 2019). Climate records near the Aral Sea since the 1960s indicate a shift towards a more continental climate, characterized by increased summer temperatures, decreased winter temperatures, and altered precipitation patterns (Middleton, 2002). The shrinking surface area of the Aral Sea has been linked to decreased precipitation and saline dust, influencing rapid climate and vegetation changes (Glazovsky, 1995). While a rise in air temperatures is anticipated for Central Asia, the aridity index


shows inconsistent trends across the region (IPCC, 2001). Remote sensing data indicate decreasing aridity in northern regions and a southward shift of the desert zone (Zolotokrylin, 2003), supported by findings from Kharin et al. (1998) that suggest a potential decrease in aridity in recent decades.

■ **UZBEKISTAN:** Future projections of climate change in Uzbekistan focus primarily on surface temperature and precipitation. Radchenko et al. (2017) forecasted changes in runoff in the Syr Darya basin, anticipating temperature increases (3.7°C to 3.9°C) and precipitation rises (11% to 13%). The impacts of global warming on glaciers in Central Asia, including Uzbekistan, have been studied extensively (Sorg et al., 2012a; 2014; 2015; Kure et al., 2013; Barandun et al., 2020), with Sorg et al. (2014) predicting significant glacier shrinkage due to rising temperatures in the Tien Shan Mountains, which are vital for regional water supply. The negative consequences of climate change on agriculture and food security in Central Asia have been explored by Sommer et al. (2013) and Bobojonov and Aw-Hassan (2014). Finally, reviews by Xenarios et al. (2019) have summarized existing literature on the impacts of anthropogenic climate change and adaptation measures in the region.

**Figure 3.1.1.** Temperature change for Central Asia for the three emission scenarios by 2100 from



**Figure 3.1.2.** Precipitation change for Central Asia for the three emission scenarios by 2100 from




Source: Siegfried et al., (2024)

## 3.2. FUTURE ICE VOLUME CHANGES

Until the end of the 21st century, glaciers in the Tien Shan and Pamir are expected to continue the current, accelerating trend of mass loss (Rounce et al., 2023). Our results indicate a total ice volume loss ranging from 58% (low-emission

scenario) to 85% (high-emission scenario) of the total ice volume in 2020 by 2100 (Fig. 3.2.1). For the high emissions scenario, for example, this means that only about 140 km<sup>3</sup> of the present 860 km<sup>3</sup> ice mass will remain.


**Figure 3.2.1.** Simulated ice volume change in RGI region 13 from 2000 to 2100. The black line shows the average from all 13 climate models (in colour) for the three different scenarios. All scenarios show a strong ice volume loss.



Glacier volume loss will accelerate until mid-century and then slow slightly (Fig. 3.2.2). For the Tien Shan (Syr Darya basin), ice volume loss is greatest until 2040, after which it slows. This is not due to a decrease in atmospheric warming, but rather

to a large reduction in ice volume, leaving less ice for melting. For most basins in the Pamir (Amu Darya Basin), the relative volume loss is more stable, and the relative ice volume loss decreases only slightly until the end of the century (Fig. 3.2.2).

**Figure 3.2.2.** Catchment-wide volume loss for the intermediate scenario (SSP2-4.5). The blue colour in the pie charts indicates the volume change by 2040, 2060, 2080 and 2100 (from lightest to darkest blue) relative to the ice volume in 2020 (numbers below the pie chart).



Glacier mass loss is spatially heterogeneous across Central Asia (Fig. 3.1.2 and Table 3.1.1). The smallest and lower-lying glaciers are projected to disappear well before 2100, even in the low emissions scenarios, whereas the largest and higher-lying glaciers are projected to persist into the 22nd century, even in the more pessimistic projections, albeit with volume losses of more than 50%. Therefore, the western margins of both the Tien Shan and the Pamir are more affected by ice volume loss by the end of the century than for example the interior regions of Tien Shan and Pamir. The outer orographic margin of the Tien Shan and Pamir are regions that currently receive a greater proportion of


precipitation due to a westerly blocking effect caused by topography. However, glaciers in this region are located at lower altitude and consequently higher mean annual air temperatures. Increasing air temperature trends will thus have stronger impacts on the retreat rates in this region.

There is in a sharp contrast in predicted ice volume loss between the catchments in the Central Asian Tien Shan and Pamir (Fig. 3.2.3). This is evident for all scenarios. Glacier ice will remain in the Amu Darya basin and the Karakul catchment until the end of the century, especially in the central Pamir, where the Fedchenko glacier holds much of the ice volume. For the

Central Asian Tien Shan, ice volume retreat is predicted to be as high as 70% under the most favourable scenarios, while glaciers in this region will disappear completely under the high

emissions scenario (Fig. 3.2.2 and S5 for the other scenarios). In this scenario, glaciation will be strongly reduced across the region, remaining only at very high elevations.

**Figure 3.2.3.** Catchment-wide glacier volume loss. Pie charts show the total volume remaining in black by 2100 and the total volume lost in white. Numbers below the pie charts indicate the ice volume in 2020.



**Table 3.2.1.** Relative ice volume change in relation to the 2020 ice volume for all three emission scenarios

Optimistic (SSP1-2.6)

year	Remaining ice volume in 2100 [%]				
	2020	2040	2060	2080	2100
Watershed	km <sup>3</sup>	%			
Amu Darya	681	87	73	60	52
Kofarnihon	3	70	53	36	26
Panj	308	86	70	52	45
Vanchob	22	87	77	66	60
Bartang	98	89	75	60	53
Gunt	30	78	54	35	27
Wakhan	46	88	70	60	49
Pamir	19	83	62	49	38
Vakhsh	366	88	76	64	57
Khingov	63	89	73	61	55
Muksu	250	90	80	70	62
Kyzylsu	33	82	63	47	37
Syr Darya	88	66	42	24	19
Kara Darya	2	54	30	18	13
Naryn	51	63	38	20	12
Karasay	23	68	42	23	12
Zeravshan	36	82	63	52	44
Chuy	14	49	23	13	10
Kaskelen	1	44	14	8	6
Karakul	24	84	68	50	43
Issyk-Kul	20	50	25	13	11

Intermediate (SSP2-4.5)

год	Remaining ice volume in 2100 [%]				
	2020	2040	2060	2080	2100
Watershed	km <sup>3</sup>	%			
Amu Darya	681	87	69	51	36
Kofarnihon	3	78	51	23	10
Panj	308	86	69	50	35
Vanchob	22	92	77	60	47
Bartang	98	89	74	55	41
Gunt	30	79	55	34	18
Wakhan	46	88	73	52	33
Pamir	19	85	65	42	27
Vakhsh	366	88	72	56	41
Khingov	63	87	72	54	40
Muksu	250	90	78	63	48
Kyzylsu	33	84	63	40	26
Syr Darya	88	70	37	17	7
Kara Darya	2	57	25	8	3
Naryn	51	65	31	11	3
Karasay	23	69	35	12	3
Zeravshan	36	83	60	40	25
Chuy	14	53	19	6	2
Kaskelen	1	42	10	4	1
Karakul	24	84	64	43	27
Issyk-Kul	20	53	20	7	3

Pessimistic (SSP5-8.5)

год	Remaining ice volume in 2100 [%]				
	2020	2040	2060	2080	2100
Watershed	km <sup>3</sup>	%			
Amu Darya	681	85	60	37	21
Kofarnihon	3	71	27	6	0
Panj	308	83	54	31	14
Vanchob	22	89	67	44	24
Bartang	98	86	61	39	20
Gunt	30	74	40	14	2
Wakhan	46	84	57	32	9
Pamir	19	80	51	28	8
Vakhsh	366	87	66	43	26
Khingov	63	83	61	36	16
Muksu	250	89	71	50	33
Kyzylsu	33	80	49	22	8
Syr Darya	88	62	27	5	1
Kara Darya	2	48	16	2	0
Naryn	51	56	23	3	0
Karasay	23	60	26	3	0
Zeravshan	36	81	50	24	6
Chuy	14	44	12	1	0
Kaskelen	1	35	5	1	0
Karakul	24	81	52	25	7
Issyk-Kul	20	44	12	2	0

## 4. FUTURE CHANGES GLACIER MELT WATER CONTRIBUTION IN CENTRAL ASIA


---

### 4.1. ANNUAL MELT WATER CONTRIBUTION

Predicted changes in glaciers are expected to significantly affect the hydrological regimes of mountain catchments. As glaciers lose ice volume, the release of meltwater will increase, initially at an accelerated rate until “peak water” is reached (Huss&Hock, 2018). After this point, the contribution of glacier melt will begin to decline due to the reduction in their size, with snowmelt and, in some cases, rain becoming the dominant contributors (Kaser et al., 2010). This shift will alter both the storage capacity and runoff patterns of affected regions, with important implications for water resource management. The timing of peak glacier meltwater contribution is influenced by the rate of warming (Rounce et al., 2023), but is also largely dependent on the current volume of ice, both in absolute terms and relative to the size of the catchment. The largest glacier complexes in the Pamir are not expected to reach peak discharge until 2100, while smaller, less glacierized catchments may have already passed their maximum discharge. As shown in Figure 4.1.1 and Fig. S1, none of the modelled catchments have yet reached peak glacier discharge. However, several catchments

in the Syr Darya basin are approaching their peak meltwater contribution soon. For example, Kaskelen, Chuy and Issyk Kul are about to reach peak meltwater contribution, while Kara Darya, Naryn and Karasay are expected to do so within the next decade. Other catchments in the Syr Darya basin are projected to reach peak flow by mid-century. Thereafter, glacial meltwater input to the major rivers in the Syr Darya basin will soon begin to decline (Fig. 4.1.1). In contrast, the Amu Darya basin and its associated catchments are expected to reach peak flow later. Some, such as the Vanshob and Muksu, may not reach maximum meltwater input until the end of the 21st century, with meltwater contributions possibly continuing to increase into the 22nd century. Furthermore, while the decline in annual meltwater contribution after peak flow is expected to be more abrupt for the Syr Darya sub-basins, the decline in the Amu Darya basin may be more gradual. As a result, changes in river discharge are likely to be much more pronounced in the Syr Darya, while more gradual shifts are expected in the Amu Darya basin.


**Figure 4.1.1.** Annual glacier meltwater contribution to total river runoff for Syr Darya and Amu Darya



The stark contrast in ice volume changes between the Tien Shan and Pamir basins is mirrored in the glacier meltwater contributions of their sub-basins (Fig. 4.1.1 and Table 4.1.2). The predicted mean annual discharge includes only the glacier contribution to total catchment runoff (see section 2.2). The Syr Darya as well as Issyk Kul, Kaskelen, and Chuy, are expected to experience significant reductions in annual glacier meltwater inflow by the end of the century. For example, Chuy, Kaskelen, and Issyk Kul, the most strongly affected catchments, could see up to a 25% reduction in meltwater input. This decrease is not driven by changes in atmospheric warming, but rather by the fact that the ice volume in these catchments will have been depleted to a point where it can no longer sustain the current level of meltwater production. In contrast, the Amu Darya

basin, and the Karakul and Zeravshan catchment are projected to experience an increase in meltwater contribution. Under a low-emission scenario, this increase could be up to 16%, while under a high-emission scenario, highly glaciated catchments, such as the Muksu catchment could see an 80% increase in meltwater input. The Muksu catchment, which hosts the Fedchenko Glacier, is particularly critical to future water availability, with basin-wide meltwater changes largely driven by this glacier's behavior. In the Amu Darya sub-basins, meltwater contributions could increase by up to 35-70%, raising annual glacier meltwater discharge by as much as 1.8 times the rate of 1991–2020. Such a significant increase in meltwater inflow could have dramatic consequences for water availability, potentially causing flooding and inundations as well as affecting hydropower plants.

**Figure 4.1.2.** Relative variation (present-day to 2100) of the glacier meltwater contribution to total annual river runoff by catchment, for the three emissions scenarios.



**Table 4.1.1.** Changes in annual glacier meltwater contribution to total river runoff for each modelled catchment for different future time periods.

Optimistic (SSP1-2.6)

Watershed	Discharge 1991–2020.	Change in discharge 2001–2030	Change in discharge 2031–2060	Change in discharge 2071–2100
Amu Darya	315	29	74	29
Bartang	41	4	11	4
Chuy	26	1	-1	-5
Gunt	19	2	5	0
Issyk-Kul	30	2	0	-7
Kara Darya	4	0	0	0
Karakul	8	1	4	2
Karasay	14	1	4	-2
Kaskelen	3	0	0	-1
Khingov	47	4	10	4
Kofarnihon	7	0	1	0
Kyzylsu	20	2	6	2
Muksu	79	8	24	13
Naryn	45	5	8	-5
Pamir	8	1	2	0
Panj	134	13	30	8
Syr Darya	99	9	12	-10
Vakhsh	173	16	44	20
Vanchob	17	1	3	15
Wakhan	5	0	1	1
Zeravshan	37	3	5	0

Intermediate (SSP2-4.5)

Watershed	Discharge 1991–2020.	Change in discharge 2001–2030	Change in discharge 2031–2060	Change in discharge 2071–2100
Amu Darya	314	23	94	72
Bartang	41	3	15	11
Chuy	26	1	0	-5
Gunt	18	2	6	2
Issyk-Kul	30	2	1	-6
Kara Darya	4	0		0
Karakul	8	1	5	4
Karasay	14	1	5	-1
Kaskelen	3	0	0	-1
Khingov	47	3	13	11
Kofarnihon	7	0	1	0
Kyzylsu	20	2	8	5
Muksu	79	6	27	28
Naryn	45		10	-4
Pamir	8	1	3	1
Panj	134	10	41	26
Syr Darya	99	7	18	-7
Vakhsh	173	13	52	46
Vanchob	17	1	4	4
Wakhan	5	0	1	0
Zeravshan	37	2	7	4

Pessimistic (SSP5-8.5)


Watershed	Discharge 1991–2020.	Change in discharge 2001–2030	Change in discharge 2031–2060	Change in discharge 2071–2100
Amu Darya	316	28	146	136
Bartang	41	4	23	22
Chuy	26	1	1	-6
Gunt	18	2	9	4
Issyk-Kul	30	2	2	-6
Kara Darya	4	0	1	0
Karakul	8	1	8	5
Karasay	14	1	7	-3
Kaskelen	3	0	0	-1
Khingov	47	3	18	18
Kofarnihon	7	0	1	0
Kyzylsu	21	2	11	6
Muksu	79		45	64
Naryn	45	4	14	-7
Pamir	8	14		3
Panj	134	13	61	48
Syr Darya	100	9	26	-12
Vakhsh	174	14	82	89
Vanchob	17	1	8	7
Wakhan	5	0	2	0
Zeravshan	37	3	11	5

## 4.2. SEASONAL CHANGES IN MELT WATER CONTRIBUTION

The contribution of glacial meltwater plays an important role in regulating river flow dynamics, affecting both the timing and volume of discharge in rivers (Fig. 4.2.1). During the melt season, this contribution can increase up to 70-90% for the Amu Darya and 20-40% for the Syr Darya of the average annual input (Saks et al., 2022; Armstrong et al.,

2019, Huss and Hock, 2018). Glacier melt provides a reliable water supply for agriculture, industry and environmental protection, especially after the seasonal snowpack has been depleted. Therefore, understanding the seasonal distribution of water available from glacier melt is at least as important as examining the annual runoff contributions.

**Figure 4.2.1.** Monthly runoff distribution for the different water resource components provided for Syr Darya and Amu Darya (left). Seasonal glacier meltwater contribution changes for the Syr Darya and Amu Darya catchment in 2100 (right).



Source: Armstrong et al., 2019


In Central Asia, the melt season typically begins in mid to late April and lasts until early October (Fig. 4.2.1 and Fig. S2), whereas in the early season snow on glaciers will contribute mostly to the runoff, while ice melt only starts when the snow line starts to rise on the glacier, typically in late June to the beginning of July. The melt season is slightly shorter in the highest catchments of the Pamir Mountains, where melt typically begins in May (Fig. S2). For most basins, glacier meltwater release peaks in August, except for the Syr Darya, Kara Darya, Kaskelen, Zeravashan, and Chuy basins, where meltwater inflow is similar in July and August and for the Kofarnihon, where a pronounced peak occurs in July (Fig. S2). The timing of this peak meltwater contribution will be strongly influenced by future changes in ice extent, as these changes will not be evenly distributed throughout the year.

In the Amu Darya basin, the contribution of meltwater will increase in spring and early summer, while in the Syr Darya basin, the contribution of meltwater is projected to decrease in late summer, accompanied by an overall decrease in seasonal peak discharge


(Fig. 4.2.1). In both basins, the melt season is projected to start earlier - as early as late March under all emission scenarios. This will lead to a rapid increase in melt rates, resulting in more excess water flowing into the two major Central Asian rivers in spring.

While atmospheric warming will shift the onset of the melt season earlier in most basins, the Gunt, Panj, Wakhan and Pamir basins (Table 2.1.1 for basin description) are exceptions (Figs. 4.2.1, 4.2.2, 4.2.3, 4.2.4 and S2). The earlier onset of spring ablation is accompanied by a greater increase in meltwater release, resulting in rivers receiving more meltwater in a shorter period of time (Fig. S2). This accelerated spring and early summer melt will shift the peak glacier meltwater contribution from August to a more evenly distributed July-August peak or even a July maximum, especially under high and intermediate emission scenarios. Only a few basins, such as Vakhsh, Muksu and Karakul, are expected to maintain their peak meltwater contribution in August (Fig. 4.2.3). In contrast, basins such as Kofarnihon, Chuy, and Kaskelen will shift their peak meltwater contribution as early as June (Fig. 4.2.2).

**Figure 4.2.2.** Seasonal glacier meltwater contribution changes for the Chuy, Kaskelen and Issyk Kul catchment in 2100.




**Figure 4.2.3.** Seasonal glacier meltwater contribution changes for Muksu, Vakhsh and Karakul catchment in 2100.



Long-term runoff observations highlight the ongoing changes in the Naryn catchment. Runoff has increased in the summer months from 1940 to 2000 due to accelerated melting in a warming climate (Fig. 4.2.4). While the peak runoff for this period remained in July, a shift over the last 20 years towards an earlier maximum runoff indicates that peak water for this catchment will be reached very

soon and that summer runoff will decrease within the next few decades (Fig. S1). By the end of the century, the peak meltwater contribution will occur before the onset of the hot and dry summer period, and a substantial portion of the summer meltwater contribution will be lost. This may be as low as less than 50% of the current runoff under an extreme emissions scenario (Fig. 4.2.4).

**Figure 4.2.4.** Historical seasonal runoff change (left, Saks et al., 2022) and predicted changes in 2100 for the Naryn catchment under the three emission scenarios (right).




Source: Saks et al., 2022

While meltwater contributions during the early summer months will continue to increase in most Pamir catchments (such as the Amu Darya, Panj, Vanchob, Bartang, Gunt, Khingov, Vakhsh, Muksu and Karakul), catchments in the Tien Shan will experience significant reductions in the magnitude of the peaks and summer meltwater contributions. These reductions will have a major impact on total river discharge, as glaciers in the Tien Shan contribute about 42% of the freshwater input to the Syr Darya (Huss and Hock, 2018). The strongest reductions for summer are predicted for the Chuy, Kaskelen, Issyk-

Kul, Naryn and Karasay basins, which contain some of the most densely populated areas in the region (Fig. 4.2.3). The Pamir, Kofarnihon, Gunt, Kyzylsu and Wakhan are expected to experience a decrease in summer meltwater by 2100, with the latter two being the only Pamir basins projected to experience a decrease in meltwater contribution from current levels by the end of the century. Vakhsh and Muksu, on the other hand, are projected to have increasing meltwater contributions during all months under all scenarios, and Karakul is projected to experience a strong increase from June to August (Figure 4.2.4).

**Figure 4.2.5.** Glacier meltwater change for each catchment by the end of the century under the three emission scenarios for May (top) and August (bottom).



Some of the most significant changes in meltwater contributions are expected in May and August (Fig. 4.2.5, S3, S4). For May, almost all catchments show an increase in meltwater contribution under all scenarios, highlighting the prolonged melt season. This shift is driven by higher temperatures at higher elevations, leading to earlier melting of snow, ice and firn, with meltwater release potentially two to three times higher than current levels. Snowmelt as well as glacier melt will both increase in early spring and therefore will fill up rivers faster leading to reduced seasonal and multi-annual storage capacity of the

basins. In larger basins, such as the Syr Darya and Amu Darya (Figure 4.2.1), the contribution of early spring glacier meltwater is relatively small compared to snowmelt, which remains the most important source of water for spring. In these basins, the importance of rain will increase and partly compensate for a change in snow and glacier melt inflow on an annual scale in a warmer climate. However, the combined effect of increased and earlier glacier melt and increased rainfall could overwhelm the river systems and increase the likelihood of spring flooding and mudflows.

In contrast, meltwater inflow in August is projected to decrease dramatically for the Tien Shan catchments, with reductions of 60-80% under intermediate emission scenarios, and almost complete meltwater loss for many Tien Shan catchments under high emission scenarios, leaving the region dry in late summer (Fig. 4.2.5). While catchments in the Pamir may not

experience substantial reductions in peak flows, the shift to earlier meltwater contributions will make river basins such as Zeravshan, Kyzylsu, Gunt, Panj, Wakhan, Pamir and Kofarnihon drier in August under the optimistic scenario, other basins such as Panj, Vanchob and Khingov will add to this with similar reductions under higher emission scenarios (Fig. 4.2.5).

## 5. DISCUSSION

---

### 5.1. RUNOFF CONTRIBUTION CHANGES


For Central Asia, hydrological model projections in Siegfried et al. (2024) indicate increases in actual evaporation between 7% and 17% and changes in runoff between +1% and -3% for the SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-8.5 scenarios, respectively. Under the most extreme climate scenario (SSP5-8.5), runoff increases of 3.8% and 5.0% are expected in the first and second future periods, followed by a decrease of -2.7% in the third period. The projected increases and decreases for the catchments over time are consistent with the predicted increase in glacier meltwater contribution patterns. Siegfried et al. (2024) highlight the diverse hydrological responses to climate change in the high mountains of Central Asia. Different mechanisms in sub-basins and between altitudinal zones lead to geographically different climate impacts in the twenty-first century. The authors highlight the importance of the glacier meltwater contribution, which is consistent with our results (Fig. 5.1.1).

Siegfried et al. (2024) conclude that the Tien Shan will experience drying out of the runoff formation zone as glacier ablation accelerates and glacier ice is lost during the 21st century (Fig. 5.1.1 and Fig. 5.1.2). The rivers originating in Pamir Mountains will experience an increase in runoff throughout the 21st century, in part due to increased glacier melt and the fact that peak runoff occurs later there, as well as to some

extent probably due to projected increased precipitation rates (Fig. 3.1.2).


In all areas of significant glaciation, increased glacier ablation stabilizes total river discharge until peak glacier meltwater occurs (Siegfried et al., 2024). The authors also emphasise the importance of the timing of peak water in stabilizing river discharge and do not expect a significant change in water availability in the Syr Darya basin, despite the large reduction in the contribution of glacier meltwater in the basin. This contrasts with the Chuy and Issyk Kul basins, where total river discharge will decrease. An increase in discharge variability is also expected for the region. The increased frequency of high discharge events also raises concerns about flood risks, necessitating the development of more robust flood mitigation strategies. Similarly, Barandun et al. (2021) found higher variability in annual glacier mass balance, highlighting the risk of extremely high to low glacier meltwater contributions. This could pose challenges for water management, as infrastructure and agricultural practices may need help to adapt to more erratic water availability and extreme flows. One of the biggest risks is high spring temperatures, which can lead to increased snow and glacier melt, combined with high precipitation events, and may become more frequent in the future.

**Figure 5.1.1.** Annual glacier meltwater contribution to total river runoff provided and from our study by 2100 for the most high-emission scenarios (SSP5-8.5).



Source: Siegfried et al., 2024

**Figure 5.1.2.** Projected total river discharge change provided in Siegfried et al. (2024) until 2100 for the most high-emission scenarios (SSP5-8.5). Discharge is based on modeling of the entire water balance and includes contributions from rain, snow, groundwater, and glacier melt as well as evaporation.



Source: Siegfried et al., 2024

## 5.2. CHANGING WATER RESOURCES UNDER CLIMATE CHANGE

In Central Asia water withdrawal closely matches availability (IPCC AR6). Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan are the most water-stressed countries in the region (Karthe et al., 2017; Russell, 2018; FAO-AQUASTAT, 2021), with 88% of Turkmenistan's surface water originating from the Amu Darya, flowing outside its borders into Tajikistan and Afghanistan (Nationally Determined Contribution of Turkmenistan under the Paris Agreement, 2022; Duan et al., 2019). The cumulative effects of climate change will be profound and aggravate the pressure on water resource management. The climate of Central Asia is sharply continental. In the south and east, the mountain ranges of the Himalayas, Pamir, Hindu Kush and Tien Shan almost completely isolate Central Asia from humid air masses from the Indian Ocean. Because of these barrier effects, large parts of the Tien Shan and Pamir are dominated by dry and cold conditions. For the Tien Shan, a steady increase in air temperature of about 0.1 to 0.2°C per decade was recorded during 1960-2007, with more pronounced warming in the winter months. For the Pamir, the temperature increased from 0.07 to 0.11°C yr<sup>-1</sup> for the same period (Barandun et al., 2020).

Warming in Central Asia is expected to exceed the global average, with temperatures rising by an average of 5-6°C by 2100 (Sara J. & Proskuryakova T., 2022). Ice and snowmelt are the main water resources for the densely populated lowlands of Central Asia (Konovalov & Shchetinnicov, 1994; Schaner et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2016) and play a crucial role for mountain communities (Figure 2.2.1; Nüsser, 2017; Nüsser et al., 2019; Sitara et al., 2015). Snow accumulation acts as a water reservoir, especially during the winter months, and controls streamflow in the spring and early summer. Glacier meltwater contribution on the other hand is more important in summer. Currently, the annual contribution of glacial meltwater from the Tien Shan Mountains to the upstream flow of the Syr Darya is 2% per year. The contribution of glacial meltwater to the Amu Darya is about 8% per year (Amstrong et al., 2019, Huss and Hock, 2018). Under the different emission scenarios, glacier meltwater contribution will decrease for Syr Darya

and increase for Amu Darya until the end of the century. More important however is the change in seasonal distribution. Most meltwater will become available in early spring while for Syr Darya the total amount of meltwater inflow will decrease and for Amu Darya it will still increase.

Consequently, based on climate projections, both extreme floods and extreme droughts will increase. This implies changes in the seasonality of the snowpack, resulting in earlier melting that could lead to more spring and summer runoff in low snow years or droughts (Siegfried et al., 2012, 2024). Increased runoff has the potential to trigger Glacier Outburst Floods (GLOFs) events, debris flows and landslides threatening mountain settlements.

Central Asia faces significant water challenges due to shared water resources, uneven distribution, and increasing competition between users (Munia et al., 2016; Krasznai et al., 2019). The region's growing population has driven up water demand, exacerbating these challenges (Mankin et al., 2015). Water resource management remains fragmented across multiple ministries, and despite ongoing legal reforms, implementation has been hindered by gaps in national strategies, weak monitoring systems, and insufficient capacity and coordination (Cassara et al., 2019). Inappropriate use of fertilizers and pesticides has worsened water quality, leading to soil salinization and contamination (Cassara et al., 2019; Bekturganov et al., 2016).

The depletion of water resources from the Amu Darya and Syr Darya rivers, caused by excessive water use for irrigation, has contributed to environmental disaster of the Aral Sea dessication by 2014 (Dukhovny & Schutter, 2011). Despite the shrinking role of agriculture in the region's GDP since the Soviet Union (Hamidov et al., 2016), the demand for water remains high, especially for irrigation. These water supply challenges, combined with rapid industrialization, are heightening the risk of water scarcity, particularly during frequent droughts that affect crop yields and contribute to soil erosion and degradation (Idrisov, 2023).

Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 6.4.2 Indicator of Water stress assesses the level of water stress, meaning how intensively freshwater is used compared to the available resources in countries. The indicator shows how much water is withdrawn in relation to the total freshwater available, taking into account that some water is needed by nature to maintain ecosystems. When the water stress level is  $\geq 100\%$ , it means that the entire available water volume (after accounting for ecosystem needs) is used for human needs, indicating a high level of stress and risk of water scarcity. If the water stress level is low (close to zero), it means that the amount of water withdrawn is a small fraction of the total stock, and water stress in this case is minimal. The SDG 6.4.2 target is to reduce the Water Stress in countries to the level  $\leq 25\%$  by 2030.

The Table 5.2.1 shows the level of water stress in the Central Asian countries and shows that Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan are under high stress, using all available water resources

downstream of the Syr Darya and Amu Darya river basins mainly for agriculture, industry and municipalities rather than for ecosystems.

The socio-economic development of Central Asian countries is closely linked to the availability of water resources (Abdullaev et al., 2019), which highlights the importance of water use efficiency for economic growth. Water Use Efficiency, measured by the SDG 6.4.1 indicator, shows how much economic value is generated from each cubic meter of water used in three main sectors: (a) agriculture, forestry, and fishing; (b) mining, manufacturing, energy production, and construction; and (c) services. This indicator helps to understand how efficiently water resources are used to produce economic benefits. Low efficiency values show high water losses and poor management in these sectors. As water demand rises and climate change makes water systems more vulnerable, improving water use efficiency is crucial to ensure food security and support sustainable economic growth in the region.

**Table 5.2.1.** SDG 6.4.2 Water Stress Indicator in Central Asian countries as of 2021

Country	Water stress indicator as of 2021 (SDG 6.4.2)
Kazakhstan	34,10 %
Kyrgyzstan	50,04 %
Tajikistan	69,94 %
Turkmenistan	135,21 %
Uzbekistan	121,84 %

Source: Made by author based on FAO AQUASTAT

In Central Asia, the average Water Use Efficiency is 3.49 USD per cubic meter (FAO AQUASTAT, 2021). This means that, on average, each cubic meter of water used brings 3.49 USD to the region's economy. However, the numbers vary widely across countries: Kazakhstan reaches 8 USD/m<sup>3</sup>, while Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, and Uzbekistan have lower values of 0.88 USD/m<sup>3</sup>, 1.08 USD/m<sup>3</sup>, 2.03 USD/m<sup>3</sup>, and 2.53 USD/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively. In comparison, the global average is 20.77 USD/m<sup>3</sup>, with Switzerland achieving an impressive 611.43 USD/m<sup>3</sup>.

Improving this indicator requires reducing water losses in irrigation, adopting more efficient

irrigation methods like drip irrigation, focusing on less water-demanding crops, and improving overall water management. In comparison with the Climate Change impact on the cryosphere and water resources, these steps are essential to boost the economic value of water and ensure its sustainable use in the context of increasing water stress. Central Asian countries are among the highest per capita water users in the world (Dukhovny & Schutter, 2011). Table 5.2.2 shows the water consumption per capita in Central Asian countries. The average water withdrawal per capita per year in Central Asia is 1'359.28 m<sup>3</sup>/inhab/year, in comparison with the overall world level of water withdrawal per capita per year 504.09 m<sup>3</sup>/inhab/year.

**Table 5.2.3.** Total water withdrawal per capita in Central Asia (Made by author based on FAO AQUASTAT)

Country	Total water withdrawal per capita (m <sup>3</sup> /inhab/year)				
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Kazakhstan	1335,04	1269,93	1260,30	1294,26	1279,61
Kyrgyzstan	1251,38	1230,82	1211,33	1192,24	1173,45
Tajikistan	894,96	1070,76	1135,48	1037,39	1015,38
Turkmenistan	4834,84	4431,94	4499,22	4198,86	2740,50
Uzbekistan	1843,75	1739,25	1636,78	1527,62	1281,11

Source: Made by author based on FAO AQUASTAT

According to FAO AQUASTAT data, the total population of Central Asian countries has increased from 71.3 million in 2017 to 75.9 million in 2021, reflecting an average annual growth of approximately 1 million people across the region. Based on this trend, the projected population for Central Asia is estimated to reach 95 million by 2040, 115 million by 2060, 135 million by 2080, and 155 million by 2100. On the other hand, over the past five years, the average annual water consumption per capita in the region has been decreasing by approximately 108.63 cubic meters per year.

This demographic growth significantly increases total water demand, even if per capita water consumption remains constant. However, the current per capita consumption rate is insufficient to meet the rising water demands. Coupled with hydrological changes, including the decreasing contribution of glaciers and snowmelt to river runoff, the region faces mounting water scarcity and stress. Addressing these challenges requires urgent measures to reduce per capita water consumption and improve water use efficiency by minimizing losses.

The cumulative effects of climate change in Central Asia are expected to be profound, with warmer temperatures causing earlier snowmelt, increasing water demand, and shortening the irrigation season. These changes, combined with alterations in cryosphere meltwater contribution, will likely lead to more extreme floods, droughts, and overall water scarcity (Sara & Proskuryakova, 2022). The unregulated use of water, particularly for agricultural irrigation, and its impact on hydropower generation (Schrader et al., 2019) are additional threats to the region. Water reservoir levels, essential for electricity production and summer irrigation, are also declining due to ongoing climate change. Existing challenges, such as fragmentation of water resource management, gaps in regulatory frameworks, and insufficient attention to environmental and health issues, place additional stress on water resources management in the countries. The region faces increasing water demand due to population growth and economic development, leading to water insecurity in the region.

## 6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

---

The arid zone of Central Asia constitutes a region confronted with a complex interplay of environmental, social, and economic challenges. The countries heavily rely on the shared water resources of the Amu Darya and Syr Darya river basins, the majority of which are fed by snow and glacier melt, making the cryosphere a crucial component of the region's water supply.

Over the rest of the 21st century, glaciers in the mountain ranges of Central Asia are expected to continue the current, accelerating trend of mass losses. According to the analyzed results from the Global Glacier Evolution Model (GloGEM; Huss&Hock, 2015; Bosson et al., 2023) a total ice volume loss of 58-85% by 2100 is estimated, depending on the climate scenario. While in the Pamir, the highest and thickest glaciers survive the century, many regions in the Tien Shan and lower elevation basins in the Pamir experience pronounced ice volume retreat to complete deglaciation until the end of the century.

Rapid glacier change will affect the hydrological regime of mountain catchments. Annual runoff is expected to reach a maximum (due to increased melt) for some of the Tien Shan catchments within the next years to a decade, followed by a decrease as glaciers retreat. The timing of such peak water occurrence is controlled by the present-day amounts of ice (both in absolute terms and relative to the catchment area) and thus catchments in the Pamir will reach peak water later. While the peak is expected after the year 2100 for the largest glacier complexes in the Pamir, smaller catchments with more modest glacierization may already be past maximum runoff. Within the seasonal cycle, by 2100 runoff from glaciers is projected to strongly decrease in the late melt season (August to October) for the Tien Shan, by more than 30% of the corresponding total basin runoff. On the contrary for the Pamir, runoff contribution during the summer will not decrease substantially but shift to earlier time periods in the season.

All projections of future glacier change come with significant uncertainties, linked to the incomplete knowledge of the climatic and non-climatic drivers of glacier mass balance and the complex process chains and feedback effects driving glacier evolution in the different sub-regions (Barandun et al., 2020). Specific challenges include an accurate simulation of the evolving glacier debris cover (Compagno et

al., 2022) and a better understanding of the future of unstable and non-linear responding glaciers under a changing climate (Kääb et al., 2023). Better constraining the future climate forcing is also an important priority: currently, gridded datasets of even the past climate show severe discrepancies across Central Asia, and largely lack validation data in terms of precipitation intensities and seasonality (Schöne et al., 2019; Zandler et al., 2019). Particularly important for future impact studies are sub-regional and catchment scale assessments of glacier mass changes and their driving processes, as well as their corresponding uncertainties – by combining in situ observations with remote sensing and numerical models (Barandun et al., 2020).

The need to adapt to a changing climate, and ultimately to mitigate climate change requires more accurate information on the interaction between atmosphere, ocean and land, in order to improve our understanding of the major climate cycles of carbon, water and energy.

For the development of National Action Plans for adaptation to the climate change impact on cryosphere and water resources management, the current scenario of the contribution of glacier meltwater runoff should be contrasted with the current development trends of Central Asian countries. These trends show the population growth in Central Asian countries, which, according to statistical data, could double by 2100. On the other hand, the current efforts of the countries to improve the efficiency of water resources use and reduction of the per capita water withdrawal are not sufficient compared to the population growth and economic development, which require more water.

These development indicators, such as water withdrawal per capita, share of agriculture in GDP production per country, water volume per unit of GDP, should be used as leverage for the development of national action plans in order to have a greater impact on the adjustment of the development path of the Central Asian countries. These actions, which aim to reduce the pressure on water resources in economic development, will strengthen the adaptation of Central Asian countries to future changes in water availability through scientifically sound, reasonable and effective measures, and accelerate the achievement of SDG 6 targets at the national and regional levels, thereby strengthening water security.

# REFERENCES

---

1. Aalstad, K., Westermann, S., Schuler, T. V., Boike, J., & Bertino, L. (2018). Ensemble-based assimilation of fractional snow-covered area satellite retrievals to estimate the snow distribution at Arctic sites. *The Cryosphere*, 12(1), 247-270, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-247-2018>.
2. Aalto, J., Kämäräinen, M., Shodmonov, M., Rajabov, N., & Venäläinen, A. (2017). Features of Tajikistan's past and future climate. *International Journal of Climatology*, 37(14), 4949–4961. doi:10.1002/joc.5135
3. Abdullaev, I., Wegerich, K., Kazbekov, J. (2019). History of water management in the Aral Sea Basin. In *The Aral Sea Basin* (pp. 8-24). Routledge.
4. Abdyrasuova, N. A., Podrezov, O. A., Pavlova, I. A., Strizhantseva, O. M., Suleimanova, D. K. (2011). Climate Change of Kyrzystan. *Kyrgyzstan: Unison* (in Russian).
5. Adnan, M., Nabi, G., Poomee, M. S., and Ashraf, A. (2017). Snowmelt runoff prediction under changing climate in the Himalayan cryosphere: the case of the Gilgit River Basin. *Geoscience Frontiers*, v. 8, pp. 941–949.
6. Ahmetova G.S., Cherkasov P.A., & Hastenrath S. (1998). Regime of Muravlev Glacier in the Djungarskiy Alatau Range of Kazakhstan, Central Asia. *Zeitschrift Fyer Gletscherkunde Und Glazialgeologie*, 34(1), 37–46.
7. Aizen, E. M., Aizen, V. B., Melack, J. M., Nakamura, T., and Ohta, T. (2001). Precipitation and atmospheric circulation patterns at mid-latitudes of Asia, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 21, 535–556.
8. Aizen, V. B. (2011). Pamir glaciers. *Encyclopedia of Snow, Ice and Glaciers*. edited by: Sigh, VP and Singh, P., Haritashya, UK, 813-815.
9. Aizen, V. B., Aizen, E. M., & Melack, J. M. (1996). Precipitation, melt and runoff in the northern Tien Shan. *Journal of Hydrology*, 186(1–4), 229–251. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694\(96\)03022-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(96)03022-3)
10. Aizen, V. B., Aizen, E. M., Melack, J. M., & Dozier, J. (1997). Climatic and hydrologic changes in the Tien Shan, Central Asia: *Journal of Climate*, v. 10, p. 1393-1404.
11. Aizen, V. B., Kuzmichenok, V. A., Surazakov, A. B., & Aizen, E. M. (2007). Glacier changes in the Tien Shan as determined from topographic and remotely sensed data. *Global and Planetary Change*, 56(3-4), 328–340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.07.016>
12. Aizen, V. B., Aizen, E. M., & Melack, J. M. (1995). Climate, snow cover, glaciers, and runoff in the Tien Shan, Central Asia. *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 31(6), 1113– 1129. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.1995.tb03426.x>
13. Alamanov, S., Lelevkin, V., Podrezov, O., Podrezov, A. (2006). Climate change and water problems in Central Asia. United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) and World Wildlife Fund (WWF), Moscow-Bishkek (in Russian).
14. Allen, S. K., Sattar, A., King, O., Zhang, G., Bhattacharya, A., Yao, T., & Bolch, T. (2022). Glacial lake outburst flood hazard under current and future conditions: Worst-case scenarios in a transboundary Himalayan basin. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 22(11), 3765–3785. <https://doi.org/10.5194/NHESS-22-3765-2022>
15. Allen, S., Frey, H., Haeberli, W., Huggel, C., Chiarle, M., & Geertsema, M. (2022). Assessment Principles for Glacier and Permafrost Hazards in Mountain Regions. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Natural Hazard Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ACREFORE/9780199389407.013.356>
16. Annual bulletin of monitoring of the climate state and climate change in Kazakhstan: 2021. (2022). REPUBLICAN STATE ENTERPRISE «KAZHYDROMET».
17. Archer, D. R. and Fowler, H. J. (2004). Spatial and temporal variations in precipitation in the Upper Indus Basin, global teleconnections and hydrological implications, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 8, 47–61, <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-8-47-2004>.
18. Arenson, L., Jakob, M. (2010). The significance of rock glaciers in the dry Andes – a discussion of Azo´car and Brenning (2010) and Brenning and Azo´car (2010). *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*. 21: 282–285. DOI: 10.1002/ppp.693.
19. Arenson, L.U., Harrington, J.S., Koenig, C.E.M. and Wainstein, P.A. (2022). Mountain Permafrost Hydrology—A Practical Review Following Studies from the Andes. *Geosciences*, 12(48).
20. Armstrong, RL., Rittger, K., Brodzik, MJ., Racoviteanu, A., Barrett, AP., Khalsa, SJS, Raup, B., Hill, AF., Khan, AL., Wilson, A., Kayastha,

- RB., Fetterer, F. & Armstrong, B. (2019). Runoff from glacier ice and seasonal snow in High Asia: separating meltwater sources in river flow. *Regional Environmental Change*, 19(5), 1249–1261. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-018-1429-0>.
21. Aubekerov, B., Chaliqjan, E. and Zakupova, S. (1989). *Izmeneniya klimata i paleogeograficheskikh usloviy Centralnogo Kazakhstana v pozdnelednikovye i golocene* (Variations of climate and palaeogeographical conditions in Central Kazakhstan during the Late Glaciation and Holocene). *Paleoklimati Pozdnelednikoviya i Golocena* (in Russian). Nauka Publishers, pp. 98–102.
  22. Azisov, E., Hoelzle, M., Vorogushyn, S., Saks, T., Usubaliev, R., Esenaman uulu, M., & Barandun, M. (2022). Reconstructed Centennial Mass Balance Change for Golubin Glacier, Northern Tien Shan. *Atmosphere*, 13(6), 954. <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos13060954>.
  23. Bair, E. H., Abreu Calfa, A., Rittger, K., and Dozier, J.: Using machine learning for real-time estimates of snow water equivalent in the watersheds of Afghanistan, *The Cryosphere*, 12, 1579–1594, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-1579-2018>, 2018
  24. Bair, E. H., Rittger, K., Ahmad, J. A., & Chabot, D. (2020). Comparison of modeled snow properties in Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Tajikistan. *The Cryosphere*, 14(1), 331–347.
  25. Barandun, M., and Pohl, E. (2023). Central Asia's spatiotemporal glacier response ambiguity due to data inconsistencies and regional simplifications. *The Cryosphere*, 17, 1343–1371. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-17-1343-2023>
  26. Barandun, M., Fiddes, J., Scherler, M., Mathys, T., Saks, T., Petrakov, D., & Hoelzle, M. (2020). The state and future of the cryosphere in Central Asia. *Water Security*, 11, 100072. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasec.2020.100072>
  27. Barandun, M., Huss, M., Sold, L., Farinotti, D., Azisov, E., Salzmann, N., Usubaliev, R., Merkurshkin, A. & Hoelzle, M. (2015). Re-analysis of seasonal mass balance at Abramov glacier 1968–2014. *Journal of Glaciology*, 61(230), 1103–1117.
  28. Barandun, M., Huss, M., Usubaliev, R., Azisov, E., Berthier, E., Kääh, A., Bolch, T., & Hoelzle, M. (2018). Multi-decadal mass balance series of three Kyrgyz glaciers inferred from modelling constrained with repeated snow line observations. *The Cryosphere*, 12(6), 1899–1919.
  29. Barandun, M., Pohl, E., Naegeli, K., McNabb, R., Huss, M., Berthier, E., Saks, T., & Hoelzle, M. (2021). Hot spots of glacier mass balance variability in Central Asia. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48(11), e2020GL092084. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL092084>
  30. Barbone, L., Reva, A., Zaidi, S. (2010). Tajikistan: Key Priorities for Climate Change Adaptation (November 1, 2010). World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 5487, Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=1718245>
  31. Barnet, J. (2007). Climate change, human society and violent conflict. *Polit Geogr* 26(6):639–655
  32. Barry, R. G. (1992). *Mountain weather and climate*, 2nd edn., Routledge, London, ISBN 9780415071130.
  33. Bedford, D. and Tsarev, B.: Central Asian Snow Cover from Hydrometeorological Surveys, Version 1, Boulder, Colorado USA, NSIDC: National Snow and Ice Data Center, <https://doi.org/10.7265/N51Z4291>, 2001.
  34. Bekturganov, Z., Tussupova, K., Berndtsson, R., Sharapatova, N., Aryngazin, K., & Zhanasova, M. (2016). Water related health problems in Central Asia—A review. *Water*, 8(6), 219.
  35. Berger, A. (1978). Long-term variations of caloric solar radiation resulting from the Earth's orbital elements. *Quaternary Res.*, 9: 139–167.
  36. Bernauer, T., & Siegfried, T. (2012). Climate change and international water conflict in Central Asia. *Journal of Peace Research*, 49(1), 227–239.
  37. Biskaborn, B. K., Smith, S. L., Noetzli, J., Matthes, H., Vieira, G., Streletskiy, D. A., Schoeneich, P., Romanovsky, V. E., Lewkowicz, A. G., Abramov, A., Allard, M., Boike, J., Cable, W. L., Christiansen, H. H., Delaloye, R., Diekmann, B., Drozdov, D., Eitzelmüller, B., Grosse, G., ... Lantuit, H. (2019). Permafrost is warming at a global scale. *Nature Communications*, 10(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-08240-4>
  38. Bobojonov, I. & Aw-Hassan, A. 2014. Impacts of climate change on farm income security in Central Asia: An integrated modeling approach. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 188, 245–255.
  39. Bojinski, S., Verstraete, M., Peterson, T. C., Richter, C., Simmons, A., and Zemp, M., 2014, The Concept of Essential Climate Variables in Support of Climate Research, Applications, and Policy: *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, v. 95, no. 9, p. 1431–1443.
  40. Bolatova, A., Krysanova, V., Lobanova, A., & Bolatov, K. (2023). Assessment of climate change impacts for two tributary basins of the Irtys River in Kazakhstan. *Climate Research*, 91, 159–174. <https://doi.org/10.3354/cr01726>
  41. Bolch, T. (2007). Climate change and glacier retreat in northern Tien Shan (Kazakhstan/

- Kyrgyzstan) using remote sensing data. *Global and Planetary Change*, 56(1–2), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.07.009>
42. Bolch, T., & Gorbunov, A. P. (2014). Characteristics and Origin of Rock Glaciers in Northern Tien Shan (Kazakhstan/Kyrgyzstan). *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, 25(4), 320–332. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.1825>
43. Bolch, T., & Marchenko, S. (2009). Significance of glaciers, rock glaciers and ice-rich permafrost in the Northern Tien Shan as water towers under climate change conditions. In L. N. Braun, W. Hagg, I. V. Severskiy, & G. Young (Eds.), *Proceedings Assessment of Snow, Glacier and Water Resources in Asia*, Almaty, Kazakhstan, 2006 (pp. 132–144). UNESCO-IHP and German IHP/HWRP National Committee.
44. Bolch, T., Peters, J., Yegorov, A., Pradhan, B., Buchroithner, M., and Blagoveshchensky, V. (2011). Identification of potentially dangerous glacial lakes in the northern Tien Shan: Natural Hazards, v. 59, no. 3, pp. 1691–1714.
45. Bolch, T., Rohrbach, N., Kutuzov, S., Robson, B.A. and Osmonov, A.: Occurrence, evolution and ice content of ice- debris complexes in the Ak-Shiirak, Central Tien Shan revealed by geophysical and remotely-sensed investigations, *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 44, <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4487>, 2019
46. Boos, W. R. and Kuang, Z. (2010). Dominant control of the South Asian monsoon by orographic insulation versus plateau heating, *Nature*, 463, 218–222.
47. Bosson, J. B., Huss, M., Cauvy-Fraunié, S., Clément, J. C., Costes, G., Fischer, M., ... & Arthaud, F. (2023). Future emergence of new ecosystems caused by glacial retreat. *Nature*, 620(7974), 562-569.
48. Brhane, E. S., and K. Dairaku. (2023). Changes in climate extremes in Hissar-Allay mountainous region, Tajikistan. *Proceedings of the 19th Annual Meeting of the Asia Oceania Geosciences Society (AOGS 2022)* Singapore.
49. Brocard, E., Flubacher, M., Haefliger, M., Hama, M., Lukasczyk, C., Pache, A., Rossa, A., Roulet, Y.-A., (2021) *Kyrgyzhydromet Peer Review Report. Contribution to the road testing of the prototype version of the Country Hydromet Diagnostics (First phase of development of the tool)*, Country Hydromet Diagnostics, Federal Department of Home Affairs FDHA, Federal Office of Meteorology and Climatology MeteoSwiss, Operation Center 1 | P.O. Box | CH-8058 Zurich-Airport, p. 29.
50. Brun, F., Berthier, E., Wagnon, P., Käab, A., & Treichler, D. (2017). A spatially resolved estimate of High Mountain Asia glacier mass balances, 2000–2016. *Nature Geoscience*, 10(9), 668–673. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2999>
51. Brun, F., Wagnon, P., Berthier, E., Jomelli, V., Maharjan, S., Shrestha, F., and Kraaijenbrink, P. (2019). Heterogeneous influence of glacier morphology on the mass balance variability in High Mountain Asia, *J. Geophys. Res.-Earth Surf.*, 124, 1331–1345.
52. Bühler, Y., M. Marty, L. Egli, J. Veitinger, T. Jonas, P. Thee, and C. Ginzler, 2015: Snow depth mapping in high-alpine catchments using digital photogrammetry. *The Cryosphere*, 9, 229–243.
53. Bulletin “Main indicators of transport of the Republic of Kazakhstan” (2023).
54. Cadet, D. (1979). Meteorology of the Indian summer monsoon, *Nature*, 279, 761–767.
55. Callegari, M., Carturan, L., Marin, C., Notarnicola, C., Rastner, P., Seppi, R., & Zucca, F. (2016). A Pol-SAR Analysis for Alpine Glacier Classification and Snowline Altitude Retrieval. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 9(7), 3106-3121. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2016.2587819>
56. Cao, M. S. (1998). Detection of abrupt changes in glacier mass balance in the Tien Shan Mountains. *Journal of Glaciology*, 44(147), 352–358. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022143000002677>
57. Cassara, M., Beekma, J., de Strasser, L., Anarbekov, O., Murzaeva, M., Giska, S., & Dörre, A. (2019). Local and national institutions and policies governing water resources management. In *The Aral Sea basin* (pp. 136-154). Routledge.
58. Chen, Y., Li, W., Deng, H., Fang, G., & Li, Z. (2016). Changes in Central Asia’s Water Tower: Past, Present and Future. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1), 35458. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep35458>
59. Cherkasov P.A. (2004). Calculation of the components of the water-glacial balance of intracontinental glacial system (Severskiy I.V. & Medeu A.R., Eds.).
60. Chevallier, P., Pouyaud, B., Mojaïsky, M., Bolgov, M., Olsson, O., Bauer, M., and Froebrich, J. (2014). River flow regime and snow cover of the Pamir Alay (Central Asia) in a changing climate. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*. 59:8, 1491- 1506, DOI: 10.1080/02626667.2013.838004
61. CHUB, V. Y. 2007. Climate change impacts on hydrometeorological processes, agriculture and

- water resources of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Voris Nashriyot. (in Russian).
62. Climate Change Profile: Tajikistan. (2020). Link: <https://reliefweb.int/report/tajikistan/climate-change-profile-tajikistan>
  63. Compagno, L. & Huss, M. & Miles, E. & McCarthy, M. & Zekollari, H. & Dehecq, A. & Pellicciotti, F. & Farinotti, D.. (2022). Modelling supraglacial debris-cover evolution from the single-glacier to the regional scale: an application to High Mountain Asia. *The Cryosphere*. 16. 1697-1718, doi:10.5194/tc-16-1697-2022.
  64. Compagno, L., Huss, M., Zekollari, H., Miles, E. S. & Farinotti, D. Future growth and decline of high mountain Asia's ice-dammed lakes and associated risk. *Commun. Earth Environ.* 3, 191 (2022).
  65. Compagno, L., Zekollari, H., Huss, M. & Farinotti, D. Limited impact of various climate datasets on future glacier evolution in Scandinavia and Iceland. *J. Glaciol.* 67, 727–743 (2021).
  66. Consortium, R., Randolph Glacier Inventory (RGI). (2017). A Dataset of Global Glacier Outlines, G.L.I.M.f. Space. Global Land Ice Measurements from Space: Boulder, Colorado, USA.
  67. Daanen, R. P., Ingeman-Nielsen, T., Marchenko, S. S., Romanovsky, V. E., Foged, N., Stendel, M., Christensen, J. H., and Hornbech Svendsen, K.: Permafrost degradation risk zone assessment using simulation models, *The Cryosphere*, 5, 1043–1056, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-5-1043-2011>, 2011.
  68. Dayrov M., Ballab Kattel D., Narama C., Wang W. (2022). Evaluating the variability of glacial lakes in the Kyrgyz and Teskey ranges, Tien Shan. *Front. Earth Sci.*, 08 August 2022 Sec. Cryospheric Sciences. Volume 10 – 2022. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2022.850146>
  69. De Stefano, L., Petersen-Perlman, J. D., Sproles, E. A., Eynard, J., & Wolf, A. T. (2017). Assessment of transboundary river basins for potential hydro-political tensions. *Global Environmental Change*, 45, 35-46.
  70. Dehecq, Amaury & Gourmelen, Noel & Trouvé, Emmanuel. 2015. Deriving large-scale glacier velocities from a complete satellite archive: Application to the Pamir–Karakoram–Himalaya. *Remote Sensing of Environment*. 162. 10.1016/j.rse.2015.01.031.
  71. Delaloye, R., Lambiel, C. (2005). Evidence of winter ascending air circulation throughout talus slopes and rock glaciers situated in the lower belt of alpine discontinuous permafrost (Swiss Alps), *Norwegian Journal of Geography* 59 (2), 194–203.
  72. Delaloye, R., Lambiel, C., and Gärtner-Roer, I. (2010). Overview of rock glacier kinematics research in the Swiss Alps: *Swiss Journal of Geography*, v. 65, no. 2, pp. 135–145.
  73. Denzinger, F., Machguth, H., Barandun, M., Berthier, E., Girod, L., Kronenberg, M., Usabaliev, R., Hoelzle, M. (2021). Geodetic mass balance of Abramov Glacier from 1975 to 2015. *Journal of Glaciology*, 67(262), 331-342. doi:10.1017/jog.2020.108
  74. Dietz A., Conrad C., Kuenzer C., Gesell G., Dech S. (2014). Identifying changing snow cover characteristics in Central Asia between 1986 and 2014 from remote sensing data. 2014. *Remote Sens.* 2014, 6(12), 12752-12775; <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs61212752>
  75. Dietz, A. J., Kuenzer, C., & Conrad, C. (2013). Snow-cover variability in central Asia between 2000 and 2011 derived from improved MODIS daily snow-cover products. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 34(11), 3879–3902. doi:10.1080/01431161.2013.767480
  76. Djumaboev, K., Anarbekov, O., Holmatov, B., Hamidov, A., Gafurov, Z., Murzaeva, M., Susnik, J., Maskey, S., Mehmood, H., Smakhtin, V. (2019). Surface water resources. In *The Aral Sea Basin* (pp. 25-38). Routledge.
  77. Dolgoushin, L.D. and Osipova, G.B., 1975. Glacier surges and the problem of their forecasting. *IAHS Publication*, 104, 292–304.)
  78. Doluhanov, P. (1985). Aridnaja zona Straogo Sveta v pozdnem pleistosene I holocene (Arid zone of the Old World in the Late Pleistocene and Holocene). *Bulletin All-Russian Geographic Society*, 117: 16–23 (in Russian).
  79. Dostai, Z. D., Alimkulov, S. K., Saparova, A. A. (2012). Vodnye Resursy Kazakhstana: otsenka, prognoz upravlenie. Tom 7. Resursy rechnogo stoka Kazakhstana. Kn. 2: Vozobnovlyaemye resursy poverkhnostnykh vod yuga i yugovostoka Kazakhstana.
  80. Dozier, J. (1997). Climatic and Hydrologic Changes in the Tien Shan, Central Asia. *Journal of Climate*, 10(6):1393- 1404.
  81. Dozier, J., E.H. Bair, and R.E. Davis, Estimating the spatial distribution of snow water equivalent in the world's mountains. *WIRES Water*, 2016. 3: p. 461–474. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1140>.
  82. Duan, W., Chen, Y., Zou, S., Nover, D., (2019). Managing the water-climate- food nexus for

- sustainable development in Turkmenistan. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 220, 212-224.
83. Dukhovny Victor, Sokolov Vadim (2003) Lessons on Cooperation Building to Manage Water Conflicts in the Aral Sea Basin. Paris: UNESCO
  84. Dukhovny, V., Sokolov, V., Ziganshina, D. (2014). Integrated water resources management in Central Asia: The challenges of managing large transboundary rivers. *Global Water Partnership (GWP)*.
  85. Dukhovny, V.A. & de Schutter, J. (2011). *Water in Central Asia, Past, Present, Future*. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.
  86. Dyurgerov, M. (2002). Glacier mass balance and regime: data of measurement and analysis. Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research, University of Colorado, Boulder., p. 88.
  87. Ehlschlaeger C. (1989). Using the AT Search Algorithm to Develop Hydrologic Models from Digital Elevation Data, *Proceedings of International Geographic Information Systems (IGIS) Symposium '89*, pp 275-281 (Baltimore, MD, 18-19 March 1989)
  88. Ermolin, E. D., Nemo, A. E., & Popov, M. V. (1989). Geotermicheskaya harakteristika mestorojdeniya Kumtore. (Geothermal characteristic of the Kumtor gold mine).
  89. Erokhin, S. A., Zaginaev, V. V., Meleshko, A. A., Ruiz-Villanueva, V., Petrakov, D., Chernomoret, S. S., Viskhadzhieva, S. K., Tutubalina, O., and Stoffel, M. (2018). Debris flows triggered from non-stationary glacier lake outbursts: the case of the Teztor Lake complex (Northern Tien Shan, Kyrgyzstan): *Landslides*, v. 15, no. 1, pp. 83–98.
  90. Eshment, B. (2011). Raspredeleniye vodnykh resursov v Tsentral'noy Azii. Nerazreshimaya problema.
  91. Eyring, V. et al. Overview of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and organization. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 9, 1937–1958 (2016).
  92. Farinotti, D. et al. A consensus estimate for the ice thickness distribution of all glaciers on Earth. *Nat. Geosci.* 12, 168–173 (2019).
  93. Farinotti, D., Longuevergne, L., Moholdt, G., Duethmann, D., Mölg, T., Bolch, T., Vorogushin, S., Güntner, A. (2015). Substantial glacier mass loss in the Tien Shan over the past 50 years. *Nature Geoscience*, 8(9), 716–722. doi:10.1038/ngeo2513
  94. Fay, M., and H. Patel. (2008). A Simple Index of Vulnerability to Climate Change. Background paper prepared for report, World Bank, Washington, DC.
  95. Fedulov I.Ya., & Shultz A.G. (1989). Mass balance of Korzheneskiy glacier. *Glaciers, Snow Cover and Avalanches in the Mountains of Kazakhstan*, 38–47.
  96. Fiddes, J., Aalstad, K., and Westermann, S. (2019) Hyper-resolution ensemble-based snow reanalysis in mountain regions using clustering, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 23, 4717–4736, <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-23-4717-2019>.
  97. Finaev, A., Liu, S., Bao, W., Li, J. (2016). Climate change and water potential of the Pamir Mountains.» *Geography, Environment, Sustainability* 9.3: 88-105. DOI:10.15356/2071-9388\_03v09\_2016\_06
  98. Finaev, A.F. (2013). Dinamika oledeniya nekotorykh rayonov Pamiro-Alaya [Dynamics of glaciation of some areas of the Pamir and Alay] (in Russian). *Issues Geogr Geoecology*. 3:32–42.
  99. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). (2016). *Country Water Report*, Rome.
  100. Forsythe, N., Fowler, H. J., Li, X.-F., Blenkinsop, S., and Pritchard, D. (2017). Karakoram temperature and glacial melt driven by regional atmospheric circulation variability: *Nature Climate Change*, v. 7, pp. 664–670.
  101. Fourth National communication of the Republic of Tajikistan under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. (2022).
  102. Frolov D.M., Koshurnikov A.V., Gagarin V.E., Nabiev I.A., Dodoboev E.I. 2022. Study of the cryosphere of the Zeravshan and Gissar ranges (Tien Shan). *Arctic and Antarctic*.– No. 4. – P. 1 - 10.
  103. Gafforzoda, I. G., Arabov, F. P., & Sodikov, A. K. (2023, August). Sustainable development of water resources of Tajikistan. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 1229, No. 1, p. 012024). IOP Publishing.
  104. Gafurov, A., & Bårdossy, A. (2009). Cloud removal methodology from MODIS snow cover product: *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, v. 13, no. 7, p. 1361-1373
  105. Gafurov, A., Kriegel, D., Vorogushyn, S., & Merz, B. (2013). Evaluation of remotely sensed snow cover product in Central Asia. *Hydrology Research*, 44(3), 506-522.
  106. Gafurov, A., Lüdtkke, S., Unger-Shayesteh, K., Vorogushyn, S., Schöne, T., Schmidt, S., Kalashnikova, O. and Merz, B. (2016). MODSNOW-Tool: an operational tool for daily

- snow cover monitoring using MODIS data. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 75(14): 1-15.
107. Gan, R., Luo, Y., Zuo, Q., & Sun, L. (2015). Effects of projected climate change on the glacier and runoff generation in the Naryn River Basin, Central Asia. *Journal of Hydrology*, 523, 240–251. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.01.057>
  108. Garcia, C. R., & Brown, S. (2009). Assessing water use and quality through youth participatory research in a rural Andean watershed. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 90(10), 3040-3047. DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2009.04.014
  109. Gardner, A. S., Moholdt, G., Cogley, J. G., Wouters, B., Arendt, A. A., Wahr, J., Berthier, E., Hock, R., Pfeffer, W. T., Kaser, G., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Bolch, T., Sharp, M. J., Hagen, J. O., van den Broeke, M. R., & Paul, F. (2013). A Reconciled Estimate of Glacier Contributions to Sea Level Rise: 2003 to 2009. *Science*, 340(6134), 852–857. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1234532>
  110. GCOS, 2010, Implementation plan for the global observing system for climate in support of the UNFCCC (2010 update). -, 2016, The Global Observing System for Climate: Implementation Plan.
  111. Gerlitz, L., Steirou, E., Schneider, C., Moron, V., Vorogushyn, S. & Merz, B. 2018. Variability of the Cold Season Climate in Central Asia. Part I: Weather Types and Their Tropical and Extratropical Drivers. *Journal of Climate*, 31, 7185-7207.
  112. Giesen, R. H., and Oerlemans, J. (2013). Climate-model induced differences in the 21st century global and regional glacier contributions to sea-level rise. *Clim. Dyn.* 41, 3283–3300. doi: 10.1007/s00382-013-1743-7
  113. Gilbert, A., Vincent, C., Gagliardini, O., Krug, J., and Berthier, E. (2015). Assessment of thermal change in cold avalanching glaciers in relation to climate warming, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 6382–6390, doi:10.1002/2015GL064838.
  114. Giorgi, F. (2006). Climate change hot-spots. *Geophysical research letters*, 33(8). Gleick, P.H. and M. Palaniappan, Peak water limits to freshwater withdrawal and use. *Proceeding of National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America (PNAS)*, 2010. 107: p. 11155–11162. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1004812107>.
  115. Glantz, M. (1999). *Creeping environmental problems and sustainable development in the Aral Sea Basin*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 5.
  116. Glazirin G. E., Stanishevckiy A. V., Chertanov S. P., Ogudin V. L. *People's glaciology of Central Asia (Народная гляциология Центральной Азии)*. 1988.
  117. Glazirin, G. E. (2009). Hydrometeorological monitoring system in Uzbekistan. *Proceedings Assessment of snow, glacier and water resources in Asia*. Almaty, Kazakhstan, 2006, Volume 8: Koblenz, UNESCO-IHP and German IHP/HWRP National Committee, p. 65-83.
  118. Glazirin, G.E. (1985). *Raspredelenie I rezhim gornikh lednikov [Distribution and regime of mountain glaciers]* (in Russian). *Gidrometeoizdat*, p. 180.
  119. Glazirin, G.E., & Tadjibaeva U.U. (2011). *Izmeneniya klimata v visokogorie Sredney Azii v kontce XX veka (Climate change in high mountains of Central Asia in the late of twentieth century)* (in Russian). *Ice and Snow*. 2:12–15.
  120. Glazovsky, N. (1995). *The Aral Sea basin. Regions at Risk: Comparisons of Threatened Environments*. Tokyo, New York, Paris: United Nations University Press.
  121. Goerlich, F., Bolch, T., and Paul, F. 2020. More dynamic than expected: an updated survey of surging glaciers in the Pamir, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 12, 3161–3176, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-3161-2020>.
  122. Gorbunov A. P., (1978) Permafrost investigations in high-mountain regions. *Arctic and Alpine Research* 10(2):283- 294.
  123. Gorbunov A. P., Suslikov V. N., Sulerzhidskiy L. D. 2000. On evolution of the kryolithozone of the Karakul depression in Pamir: Pleistocene and Holocene, 2000, т. IV, N0 4, pp 41-48.
  124. Gorbunov A.P. (1979). Rock glaciers of the Trans-Ili Alatau. *Cryogenic Phenomena of Kazakhstan and Central Asia*, 5–3.
  125. Gorbunov A.P., & Titkov S.N. (1989). Rock glaciers of the mountains of Central Asia.
  126. Gorbunov A.P., Suslikov V.N., Sulerzhitskiy L.D. 2000. On the evolution of the permafrost zone of the Karakul Basin in the Pamirs: Pleistocene and Holocene. *Cryosphere of the Earth*. – T. 4. – No. 4. – pp. 41-48.
  127. Gorbunov A.P., Zheleznyak M.N., & Severskiy E.V. (2018). Estimation of the volume of underground ice in the Tien Shan mountain system. *Cryosphere of the Earth*, XXII(5), 35–44.
  128. Gorbunov, A., & Nemov, A. E. (1978). On temperature research of loose deposits in the Tien Shan high mountains (K issledovaniyu temperatur rykhlooblomochnyh tolschsh vysokogornogo

- Tyan-Shanya). In *Cryogenic Phenomena of High Mountains* (pp. 92–99). Nauka.
129. Gorbunov, A., Severskiy, E., Titkov, S. (1996). *Geocriologicheskie Usloviya TyanShanya i Pamira* (Geocryological Conditions of the Tien Shan and Pamir). Yakutsk.
130. Gorbunov, A.P., Marchenko, S.S. and Seversky, E.V. (2004). The thermal environment of blocky materials in the mountains of Central Asia. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, 15: 95-98.
131. Gruber, F. E., & Mergili, M. (2013). Regional-scale analysis of high-mountain multi-hazard and risk indicators in the Pamir (Tajikistan) with GRASS GIS. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 13(11), 2779–2796. <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-13-2779-2013>
132. Gruber, S. (2012). Derivation and analysis of a high-resolution estimate of global permafrost zonation. *The Cryosphere*, 6(1), 221–233. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-6-221-2012>
133. Gruber, S., Haeberli, W. (2007). Permafrost in steep bedrock slopes and its temperature-related destabilization following climate change. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 112(F2). doi:10.1029/2006jf000547
134. Gugerli, R., Desilets, D. and Salzmänn, N. (2022). Brief communication: Application of a muonic cosmic ray snow gauge to monitor the snow water equivalent on alpine glaciers. *The Cryosphere*, 16(3): 799-806.
135. Gugerli, R., Guidicelli, M., Gabella, M., Huss, M. and Salzmänn, N. (2021). Multi-sensor Analysis of Monthly Gridded Snow Precipitation on Alpine Glaciers. *Advance in Science and Research*, 18: 7-20.
136. Gugerli, R., Salzmänn, N., Huss, M. and Desilets, D. (2019). Continuous and autonomous snow water equivalent measurements by a cosmic ray sensor on an alpine glacier. *The Cryosphere*, 13(12): 3413-3434.
137. Gulahmadov, N. (2022). Analysis of climatic variables in the upper reaches of the Amu Darya River basin in Tajikistan (in Russian). *Scientific and Technical Bulletin of Bryansk State University*, pp 76-85.
138. Gulahmadov, N., Chen, Y., Gulahmadov, A., Rakhimova, M., Gulahmadov, M. (2021). Quantifying the Relative Contribution of Climate Change and Anthropogenic Activities on Runoff Variations in the Central Part of Tajikistan in Central Asia. *Land* 2021, 10, 525. <https://doi.org/10.3390/land10050525>
139. Gulahmadov, N., Chen, Y., Gulahmadov, M., Satti, Z., Naveed, M., Davlyatov, R., ... & Gulahmadov, A. (2023). Assessment of Temperature, Precipitation, and Snow Cover at Different Altitudes of the Varzob River Basin in Tajikistan. *Applied Sciences*, 13(9), 5583.
140. Gulahmadov, A. A. (2022). Analysis of climatic variables in the upper reaches of the Amu Darya River basin in Tajikistan. *Scientific and Technical Bulletin of Bryansk State University*, (1), 76-85 (In Russ).
141. Guo, D., Wang, H. and Li, D.: A projection of permafrost degradation on the Tibetan Plateau during the 21st century, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 117, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016545>, 2012
142. Haag, I., Jones, P. D., and Samimi, C. (2019). Central Asia's Changing Climate: How Temperature and Precipitation Have Changed across Time, Space, and Altitude, *Climate*, 7, 123, <https://doi.org/10.3390/cli7100123>.
143. Haeberli W 1992 Construction, environmental problems and natural hazards in periglacial mountain belts *Permaf. Periglac. Process.* 3 111–24
144. Haeberli, W. (2017). Integrative modelling and managing new landscapes and environments in de-glaciating mountain ranges: An emerging trans-disciplinary research field. *Forestry Research and Engineering: International Journal*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.15406/freij.2017.01.00005>
145. Haeberli, W., Noetzli, J., Arenson, L., Delaloye, R., Gärtner-Roer, I., Gruber, S., Isaksen, K., Kneisel, C., Krautblatter, M., and Phillips, M., (2010), Mountain permafrost: Development and challenges of a young research field: *Journal of Glaciology*, v. 56, no. 200, p. 1040-1058.
146. Haeberli, W., Schaub, Y., & Huggel, C. (2017). Increasing risks related to landslides from degrading permafrost into new lakes in de-glaciating mountain ranges. *Geomorphology*, 293, 405–417. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.02.009.
147. Hagg, W., Braun, L., Kuhn, M., and Nesgaard, T. I. (2007). Modelling of hydrological response to climate change in glacierized Central Asian catchments: *Journal of Hydrology*, v. 332, pp. 40–53.
148. Hagg, W., Hoelzle, M., Wagner, S., Mayr, E., and Klose, Z. (2013). Glacier and runoff changes in the Rukhk catchment, upper Amu-Darya basin until 2050: *Global and Planetary Change*, v. 110, pp. 62–73.
149. Halla, C., Blöthe, J. H., Tapia Baldis, C., Trombotto Liaudat, D., Hilbich, C., Hauck, C., Schrott, L. (2021). Ice content and interannual water storage changes of an active rock glacier in the dry Andes

- of Argentina, *The Cryosphere*, 15, 1187–1213, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-1187-2021>.
150. Hamidov, A., Helming, K., & Balla, D. (2016). Impact of agricultural land use in Central Asia: a review. *Agronomy for sustainable development*, 36, 1-23.
  151. Harris C, Haeberli W, Vonder Mühl D and King L 2001 Permaf. Periglac. Process. Permafrost monitoring in the high mountains of Europe: the PACE Project in its global context 12 3–11
  152. Hersbach, H., et al. (2020). «The ERA5 Global Reanalysis.» *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 146(730), 1999-2049.
  153. Hill, A. F., Minbaeva, C. K., Wilson, A. M., Satylkanov, R. (2017). Hydrologic controls and water vulnerabilities in the Naryn River Basin, Kyrgyzstan: A socio-hydro case study of water stressors in Central Asia. *Water*, 9(5), 325.
  154. Hjort, J., Streletskiy, D., Doré, G., Wu, Q., Bjella, K., and Luoto, M., 2022, Impacts of permafrost degradation on infrastructure: *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, v. 3, no. 1, p. 24-38.
  155. Hock, R. (1999). A distributed temperature-index ice- and snowmelt model including potential direct solar radiation. *J. Glaciol.* 45 (149), 101–111.
  156. Hock, R. Temperature index melt modelling in mountain areas. *J. Hydrol.* 282, 104–115 (2003).
  157. Hock, R., G. Rasul, C. Adler, B. Cáceres, S. Gruber, Y. Hirabayashi, M. Jackson, A. Kääb, S. Kang, S. Kutuzov, A. Milner, U. Molau, S. Morin, B. Orlove, and H. Steltzer. (2019). High Mountain Areas. In: *IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate* [H.-O. Pörtner, D.C. Roberts, V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, M. Tignor, E. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Nicolai, A. Okem, J. Petzold, B. Rama, N.M. Weyer (eds.)]
  158. Hoelzle, M., Azisov, E., Barandun, M., Huss, M., Farinotti, D., Gafurov, A., Hagg, W., Kenzhebaev, R., Kronenberg, M., Machguth, H., Merkushev, A., Moldobekov, B., Petrov, M., Saks, T., Salzmann, N., Schöne, T., Tarasov, Y., Usabaliev, R., Vorogushyn, S., Yakovlev, A., Zemp, M. (2017). Re-establishing glacier monitoring in Kyrgyzstan and Uzbekistan, Central Asia. *Geoscientific Instrumentation, Methods and Data Systems*, 6(2), 397–418. doi:10.5194/gi-6-397-2017
  159. Hoelzle, M., Barandun, M., Bolch, T., Fiddes, J., Gafurov, A., Muccione, V., Saks, T., & Shahgedanova, M. (2019). The status and role of the alpine cryosphere in Central Asia. In *The Aral Sea Basin* (pp. 100–121). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429436475-8>
  160. Hoelzle, M., Mittaz, C., Etzelmüller, B., & Haeberli, W. (2001). Surface energy fluxes and distribution models of permafrost in European mountain areas: an overview of current developments. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, 12(1), 53–68. doi:10.1002/ppp.385
  161. HUANG, A., ZHOU, Y., ZHANG, Y., HUANG, D., ZHAO, Y. & WU, H. 2014. Changes of the Annual Precipitation over Central Asia in the Twenty-First Century Projected by Multimodels of CMIP5. *Journal of Climate*, 27, 6627-6646.
  162. Huggel, C., Zraggen-Oswald, S., Haeberli, W., Kääb, A., Polkvoj, A., Galushkin, I., Evans, G.S. (2005). The 2002 rock/ice avalanche at Kolka/Karmadon, Russian Caucasus: assessment of extraordinary avalanche formation and mobility, and application of Quick Bird satellite imagery. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences* 5, 173–187.
  163. Hugonnet, R. et al. Accelerated global glacier mass loss in the early twenty-first century. *Nature* 592, 726–731 (2021).
  164. Hugonnet, R., McNabb, R., Berthier, E., Menounos, B., Nuth, C., Girod, L., Farinotti, D., Huss, M., Dussaillant, I., Brun, F., Kääb, A. (2021). Accelerated global glacier mass loss in the early twenty-first century. *Nature*. 592(7856), 726– 731. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03436-z>
  165. Huss, M. & Hock, R. (2015). A new model for global glacier change and sea-level rise. *Frontiers in Earth Science* 3, 54.
  166. Huss, M., & Hock, R. (2015). «A new model for estimating the seasonal mass balance of glaciers.» *The Cryosphere*, 9(4), 1399-1413.
  167. Huss, M., & Hock, R. (2018). Global-scale hydrological response to future glacier mass loss. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(2), 135–140. doi:10.1038/s41558-017-0049-x
  168. Huss, M., and Farinotti, D. (2012). Distributed ice thickness and volume of all glaciers around the globe. *J. Geophys. Res.* 117:F04010. doi: 10.1029/2012JF002523
  169. Huss, M., Bauder, A., & Funk, M. (2009). Homogenization of long-term mass-balance time series. *Annals of Glaciology*, 50(50), 198-206.
  170. Huss, M., Bauder, A., Funk, M., Hock, R. (2008). Determination of the seasonal mass balance of four Alpine glaciers since 1865. *J. Geophys.*

- Res. 113 (F1):2156–2202, F01015. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2007JF000803>.
171. Huss, M., Jouvett, G., Farinotti, D. & Bauder, A. Future high-mountain hydrology: a new parameterization of glacier retreat. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* 14, 815–829 (2010).
172. Ibatullin, S., & Ziganshina, D. (2019). The future of water resources, in Xenarios, S., Schmidt-Vogt, D., Qadir, M., Janusz-Pawletta, B., and Abdullaev, I., eds., *The Aral Sea Basin: Water for Sustainable Development in Central Asia*: London and New York, Routledge Publisher, p. 176-196.
173. Idrisov, T. (2023) Climate Change and Water Resources in Central Asia: Growing Uncertainty. Central Asian Bureau for Analytical Reporting. Retrieved from <https://cabar.asia/en/climate-change-and-water-resources-in-central-asia-growing-uncertainty>
174. Immerzeel, W. W., Droogers, P., de Jong, S. M., Bierkens, M. F. P. (2009). Large-scale monitoring of snow cover and runoff simulation in Himalayan river basins using remote sensing: *Remote Sensing of Environment*, v. 113, pp. 40–49.
175. Immerzeel, W. W., Lutz, A. F., Andrade, M., Bahl, A., Biemans, H., Bolch, T., Hyde, S., Brumby, S., Davies, B. D., Elmore, A. C., Emmer, A., Feng, M., Fernandez, A., Haritashya, U., Kargel, J. S., Koppes, M., Kraaijenbrink, P. D. A., Kulkarni, A. V., Mayewski, P. A., Nepal, S., Pacheco, P., Painter, T. H., Pellicciotti, F., Rajaram, H., Rupper, S., Sinisalo, A., Shrestha, A. B., Viviroli, D., Wada, Y., Xiao, C., Yao, T., Baillie, J. E. M. (2019). Importance and vulnerability of the world's water towers. *Nature*. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1822-y
176. Immerzeel, W. W., Lutz, A. F., Andrade, M., Bahl, A., Biemans, H., Bolch, T., ... & Baillie, J. E. M. (2020). Importance and vulnerability of the world's water towers. *Nature*, 577(7790), 364-369.
177. Immerzeel, W. W., van Beek, L. P., & Bierkens, M. F. (2010). Climate change will affect the Asian water towers: *Science*, v. 328, no. 5984, p. 1382-1385.
178. Immerzeel, W., Wanders, N., Lutz, A., Shea, J., & Bierkens, M. (2015). Reconciling high-altitude precipitation in the upper Indus basin with glacier mass balances and runoff. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 19(11), 4673–4687. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-4673-2015>
179. Information sheet No. 2 for the second quarter of 2021 ANEC. (2021).
180. IPCC. (2001). *Climate Change: The Scientific Basis Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
181. IPCC. (2007). *Climate change 2007: the physical science basis. Contribution of working group I to the fourth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
182. IPCC. (2021). «Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis.» Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
183. Issaldayeva, S., Alimkulov, S., Raimbekova, Z., Bekseitova, R., & Karatayev, M. (2023). The climatic and river runoff trends in Central Asia: The case of Zhetysu Alatau region, the south-eastern part of Kazakhstan. *Heliyon*, 9(7), e17897. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e17897>
184. Janke, J. R., Ng, S., & Bellisario, A. (2017). An inventory and estimate of water stored in firn fields, glaciers, debris-covered glaciers, and rock glaciers in the Aconcagua River Basin, Chile. *Geomorphology*, 296, 142–152. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2017.09.002.
185. Jarvis, J., Reuter, H., Nelson, A., and Guevara, E. (2008). Hole-filled SRTM for the Globe Version 4, Available from the CGIAR-CSI SRTM 90 m Database.
186. Jin, X.-Y., Jin, H.-J., Iwahana, G., Marchenko, S. S., Luo, D.-L., Li, X.-Y., and Liang, S.-H., 2021, Impacts of climate-induced permafrost degradation on vegetation: A review: *Advances in Climate Change Research*, v. 12, no. 1, p. 29-47.
187. Jones, D. B., Harrison, S., Anderson, K., & Betts, R. A. (2018). Mountain rock glaciers contain globally significant water stores. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-21244-w>
188. Jones, D. B., Harrison, S., Anderson, K., Selley, H. L., Wood, J. L., & Betts, R. A. (2018). The distribution and hydrological significance of rock glaciers in the Nepalese Himalaya. *Global and Planetary Change*, 160, 123–142. doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2017.11.005.
189. Kääb, A., Bazilova, V., Leclercq, P., Mannerfelt, E., & Strozzi, T. (2023). Global clustering of recent glacier surges from radar backscatter data, 2017–2022. *Journal of Glaciology*, 1-9. doi:10.1017/jog.2023.35
190. Kääb, A., Berthier, E., Nuth, C., Gardelle, J., & Arnaud, Y. (2012). Contrasting patterns of early twenty-first-century glacier mass change in the

- Himalayas. *Nature*, 488(7412), 495–498. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11324>
191. Kääh, A., Strozzi, T., Bolch, T., Caduff, R., Trefall, H., Stoffel, M., & Kokarev, A. (2021). Inventory and changes of rock glacier creep speeds in Ile Alatau and Kungöy Ala-Too, northern Tien Shan, since the 1950s. *The Cryosphere*, 15(2), 927–949. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-927-2021>
192. Kääh, A., Treichler, D., Nuth, C., and Berthier, E. (2015). Brief Communication: Contending estimates of 2003–2008 glacier mass balance over the Pamir–Karakoram–Himalaya. *The Cryosphere*, 9, 557–564. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-9-557-2015>.
193. Kaldybayev A.A., & Chen Yaning. (2022). Assessment of changes in the area of glaciers in the northern part of the Zhetysu Alatau based on remote sensing data. *Bulletin of KazNU, Geographical Series*, 3(66). <https://doi.org/10.26577/JGEM.2022.v66.i3.01>
194. Kaldybayev, A., Chen, Y., & Vilesov, E. (2016). Glacier change in the Karatal river basin, Zhetysu (Dzhungar) Alatau, Kazakhstan. *Annals of Glaciology*, 57(71), 11–19. <https://doi.org/10.3189/2016AoG71A005>
195. Kanaev, L.A. (1966). Sovremennoe oledenenie basseina reki Pskem [Modern glaciation of the Pskem River basin] (in Russian). *Tr. SARNIGMI. Issue 27(42)*, pp 35–46.
196. Kapitsa, V., Shahgedanova, M., Machguth, H., Severskiy, I., & Medeu, A. (2017). Assessment of evolution and risks of glacier lake outbursts in the Djungarskiy Alatau, Central Asia, using Landsat imagery and glacier bed topography modelling. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 17(10), 1837–1856. <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-17-1837-2017>
197. Kapitsa, V., Shahgedanova, M., Severskiy, I., Kasatkin, N., White, K., & Usmanova, Z. (2020). Assessment of Changes in Mass Balance of the Tuyuksu Group of Glaciers, Northern Tien Shan, between 1958 and 2016 Using Ground-Based Observations and Pléiades Satellite Imagery. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2020.00259>
198. Karandaeva, L.M. (2004). Otchenka sovremennogo oledneniya basseyna reki Pskem po dannim ASTER TERRA [The estimation of the modern glaciation of the Pskem river basin according to ASTER TERRA data] (in Russian). *Proc Hydrometeorol Res Inst.* 3:96–100.
199. Karatayev, M., Clarke, M., Salnikov, V., Bekseitova, R., & Nizamova, M. (2022). Monitoring climate change, drought conditions and wheat production in Eurasia: the case study of Kazakhstan. *Heliyon*, 8(1), e08660. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e08660>
200. Karatayev, M., Kapsalyamova, Z., Spankulova, L., Skakova, A., Movkebayeva, G., & Kongyrbay, A. (2017b). Priorities and challenges for a sustainable management of water resources in Kazakhstan. *Sustainability of Water Quality and Ecology*, 9, 115–135.
201. Karatayev, M., Rivotti, P., Mourão, Z. S., Konadu, D. D., Shah, N., & Clarke, M. (2017a). The water-energy-food nexus in Kazakhstan: challenges and opportunities. *Energy Procedia*, 125, 63–70.
202. Karthe, D., Lin, P. Y., Westphal, K. (2017). Gradients of water hygiene in the holtemme, a small headwater stream in the Elbe River Basin, Northern Germany. *Front Earth Sci* 11(3):544–553. doi:10.1007/s11707-017-0648-x
203. Kaser, G., Großhauser, M., & Marzeion, B. (2010). Contribution potential of glaciers to water availability in different climate regimes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(47), 20223–20227. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1008162107>
204. Kauazov, A. M., Tillakarim, T. A., Salnikov, V. G., & Polyakova, S. E. (2023). Assessment of changes in snow cover area in Kazakhstan from 2000 to 2022. *Sovremennye Problemy Distantionnogo Zondirovaniya Zemli Iz Kosmosa*, 20(1), 298–305. <https://doi.org/10.21046/2070-7401-2023-20-1-298-305>
205. Kayumov A., Kabutov H. K., Navruzshoev H. D. (2022). Dynamics of changes in glaciation in the Sarygun River basin in the area of Lake Karakul. *News of the National Academy of Sciences of Tajikistan. Department of Physical, Mathematical, Chemical, Geological and Technical Sciences.* — # 3(188). – P. 165-173. – EDN MFAZYR.
206. Kayumov A., Kabutov H.K., Hoelzle M., Saks T., Barandun M. (2023). Mass balance of the Eastern Zulmart glacier (No. 139) for 2018-2022. *News of the National Academy of Sciences of Tajikistan. Department of physical and mathematical, chemical, geological and technical sciences.* — # 1(190). – P. 94-102.
207. Kayumova A., Novikova V. (2014). Third National Communication of the Republic of Tajikistan under the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change. Dushanbe – 167 p., with illustrations and bibliography.
208. Kenner, R., Noetzli, J., Hoelzle, M., Raetzo, H., & Phillips, M. (2019). Distinguishing ice-rich and ice-poor permafrost to map ground temperatures and ground ice occurrence in the Swiss Alps. *The*

- Cryosphere, 13(7), 1925–1941. doi:10.5194/tc-13-1925-2019
209. Kenzhebaev, R., Barandun, M., Kronenberg, M., Chen, Y., Usabaliev, R., & Hoelzle, M. (2017). Mass balance observations and reconstruction for Batysh Sook Glacier, Tien Shan, from 2004 to 2016. *Cold Regions Science and Technology*, 135, 76–89.
210. Kes, A., Mamedov, E., Khondkaryan, S., Trofimov, G. and Kremenetsky, K. (1993). Stratigrafija i paleografija ravninnih oblastej Srednej Azii v pozdnem pleistocene i golocene (Plains of northern central Asia during the Late Pleistocene and Holocene: Stratigraphy and palaeogeography) (in Russian). *Evolution of Landscapes and Climates of the Northern Eurasia*, pp. 82–87.
211. Khakimov, P. (2019). *Climate Change in Afghanistan, Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan: Trends and adaptation policies, fostering innovation. Working paper #55.*
212. Khakimov, P., Aliev, J., Thomas, T., Ilyasov, J., Dunston, S. (2020). *Climate Change Effects on Agriculture and Food Security in Tajikistan //Silk Road: A Journal of Eurasian Development. – 2020. – T. 2. – №. 1. – p. 89-112.*
213. Kharin, N., Tateishi, G. and Gringof, I. (1998). Use of NOAA AVHRR data for assessment of precipitation and land degradation in Central Asia. *Arid Ecosys.*, 4: 25–34.
214. KHAYDAROV, M. & GERLITZ, L. (2019). Climate variability and change over Uzbekistan-an analysis based on high resolution CHELSA data. *Central Asian Journal of Water Research*, 5, 1-19.
215. Kislov, B. V. (1982). *Formation and regime of the firn-ice stratum of a mountain glacier (in Russian) (Ph.D. thesis). SARNIGMI Tashkent.*
216. Kobuliev, M., Liu, T., Kobuliev, Z., Chen, X., Gulakhmadov, A., Bao, A. (2021). Effect of future climate change on the water footprint of major crops in southern Tajikistan, *Regional Sustainability*. Volume 2, Issue 1, pp 60-72, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.regsus.2021.01.004>.
217. Kokarev A., Schesterova I., & Schröder H. (1997). Die blockgletscher im Sailijskij Alatau des Tienschan (Kasachstan). *Hallesches Jahrb. Geowiss*, 19, 81–94.
218. Kokarev A.L., Kapitsa V.P., Bolch T., Severskiy I.V., Kasatkin N.E., Shahgedanova M., & Usmanova Z.S. (2022). The results of geodetic measurements of the mass balance of some glaciers in the Zailiyskiy Alatau (Trans-Ili Alatau). *Journal “Ice and Snow,”* 62(4), 527–538. <https://doi.org/10.31857/S2076673422040149>
219. Konovalov, V.G., Shchetinnicov, A.S. (1994). Evolution of glaciation in the Pamiro-Alai mountains and its effect on river run-off. *Journal of Glaciology*, 40(134): p. 149-157. <https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000003920>.
220. Kotlyakov V. M. (1975). *Catalog of glaciers of the USSR. Gidrometeoizdat, T. 14. Issue. 3. Part 17–18. –37 P.*
221. Kotlyakov V.M., & Severskiy I.V. (2006). *Glaciers of Central Asia: current state, changes, possible impact to water resources.* In Severskiy I.V. (Ed.), *Assessment of snow, ice and water resources in Asia, Almaty, Kazakhstan* (pp. 21–55). UNESCO.
222. Kotlyakov, V.M., (1980). *Problems and results of studies of mountain glaciers in the Soviet Union. IAHS Publication, 126, 129–136.*
223. Kotlyakov, V.M., Osipova, G.B. and Tsvetkov, D.G., (2008). *Monitoring surging glaciers of the Pamirs, Central Asia, from space. Annals of Glaciology*, 48, 125–134. doi:10.3189/172756408784700608
224. Koven, C. D., Ringeval, B., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Cadule, P., Khvorostyanov, D., Krinner, G., Tarnocai, C. (2011). Permafrost carbon-climate feedbacks accelerate global warming. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(36), 14769–14774. doi:10.1073/pnas.1103910108
225. Kraaijenbrink, P. D. A., Bierkens, M. F. P., Lutz, A. F., & Immerzeel, W. W. (2017). Impact of a global temperature rise of 1.5 degrees Celsius on Asia’s glaciers. *Nature*, 549(7671), 257–260. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23878>
226. Kraaijenbrink, P. D., Stigter, E. E., Yao, T., & Immerzeel, W. W. (2021). Climate change decisive for Asia’s snow meltwater supply. *Nature Climate Change*, 11(7), 591-597.
227. Krasznai, M. (2019). *Transboundary water management. The Aral Sea Basin: Water for Sustainable Development in Central Asia: London and New York, Routledge Publisher, p. 122-135.*
228. Krautblatter, M., Huggel, C., Deline, P., & Hasler, A. (2012). *Research Perspectives on Unstable High-alpine Bedrock Permafrost: Measurement, Modelling and Process Understanding. Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, 23(1), 80–88. doi:10.1002/ppp.740
229. Kriegel D., Mayer C., Hagg W., Vorogushyn S., Duethmann D., Gafurov A., Farinotti D. (2013). *Changes in glacierisation, climate and runoff in the second half of the 20th century in the Naryn*

- basin, Central Asia. *Glob Planet Change* 110:51–61. doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2013.05.014
230. Kronenberg, M., Barandun, M., Hoelzle, M., Huss, M., Farinotti, D., Azisov, E., Usubaliev, R., Gafurov, A., Petrakov, D. & Kääh, A. (2016). Mass-balance reconstruction for Glacier No. 354, Tien Shan, from 2003 to 2014. *Annals of Glaciology*, 57(71), 92-102.
231. Kronenberg, M., Machguth, H., Eichler, A., Schwikowski, M., & Hoelzle, M. (2021). Comparison of historical and recent accumulation rates on Abramov Glacier, Pamir Alay. *Journal of Glaciology*, 67(262), 253-268. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2020.103>
232. Kronenberg, M., van Pelt, W., Machguth, H., Fiddes, J., Hoelzle, M., & Pertziger, F. (2022). Long-term firn and mass balance modelling for Abramov Glacier in the data-scarce Pamir Alay. *The Cryosphere*, 16(12), 5001-5022.
233. Kronenberg, M., Barandun, M., Hoelzle, M., Huss, M., Farinotti, D., Azisov, E., & Kääh, A. (2016). Mass-balance reconstruction for glacier no. 354, Tien Shan, from 2003 to 2014. *Annals of Glaciology*, 57(71), 92–102. <https://doi.org/10.3189/2016AoG71A032>
234. Kudyshkin, T.V., Tarasov, Y.A., & Yakovlev, A.V. (2014). Changes in glaciation of river basins dominated by small glaciers in the second half of the XX-early XXI century (in Russian). *Voprosy geografii i geoecologii*. Almaty, 45- 54.
235. Kuranboyeva Z., Usarov Z., 2023. Assessment of changes in air temperature and precipitation of the current climate period in mountain areas of Uzbekistan compared with the base climate period. Materials of the international scientific and practical conference. Water resources of the arid regions under climate change conditions: problems and solutions. Tashkent, October 20, 2023, pp 82-88 (in Uzbek).
236. Kuranboyeva Z., Usarov Z., Babushkin O.L., Mamadjanova G.A., 2022. Long-term changes in temperature and precipitation normals in Uzbekistan under global warming. Materials of the international scientific and practical conference. Hydrometeorological research under the climate change: problems and solutions. Tashkent, June 3- 4, 2022, pp 64-68 (in Uzbek).
237. Kure, S., Jang, S., Ohara, N., Kavvas, M. L., Chen, Z. Q. (2013). Hydrologic impact of regional climate change for the snowfed and glacierfed river basins in the Republic of Tajikistan: hydrological response of flow to climate change: *Hydrological Processes*, v. 27, no. 26, pp. 4057–4070.
238. Kutuzov, S., & Shahgedanova, M. (2009). Glacier retreat and climatic variability in the eastern Terskey–Alatoo, inner Tien Shan between the middle of the 19th century and beginning of the 21st century. *Global and Planetary Change*, 69(1–2), 59–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2009.07.001>
239. Kuzmichenok, V. (2006). Monitoring of water, snow and glacial resources of Kyrgyzstan. in *Assessment of snow, glacier and water resources in Asia*. Almaty, Kazakhstan: UNESCO-IHP and German IHP/HWRP National Committee.
240. Lambrecht, A., Mayer, C., Aizen, V., Floricioiu, D., & Surazakov, A. (2014). The evolution of Fedchenko glacier in the Pamir, Tajikistan, during the past eight decades. *Journal of Glaciology*, 60(220), 233-244. doi:10.3189/2014JoG13J110
241. Lambrecht, A., Mayer, C., Bohleber, P., & Aizen, V. (2020). High altitude accumulation and preserved climate information in the western Pamir, observations from the Fedchenko Glacier accumulation basin. *Journal of Glaciology*, 66(256), 219-230.
242. Lambrecht, A., Mayer, C., Wendt, A., Floricioiu, D., & Völksen, C. (2018). Elevation change of Fedchenko Glacier, Pamir Mountains, from GNSS field measurements and TanDEM-X elevation models, with a focus on the upper glacier. *Journal of Glaciology*, 64(246), 637-648. doi:10.1017/jog.2018.52
243. Li, J., Li, Z., Zhu, J., Li, X., Xu, B., Wang, Q., Huang, C., Hu, J. (2017). Early 21st century glacier thickness changes in the Central Tien Shan. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 192, 12-29.
244. Li, Q., Yang, T., Zhang, F., Qi, Z., & Li, L. (2019). Snow depth reconstruction over last century: Trend and distribution in the Tianshan Mountains, China. *Global and Planetary Change*, 173, 73–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2018.12.008>
245. Li, Y., Zhang, D., Andreeva, M., Li, Y., Fan, L., & Tang, M. (2020a). Temporal-spatial variability of modern climate in the Altai Mountains during 1970–2015. *PLOS ONE*, 15(3), e0230196. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230196>
246. Li, Z., Chen, Y., Li, Y., & Wang, Y. (2020b). Declining snowfall fraction in the alpine regions,

- Central Asia. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), 3476. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-60303-z>
247. Library of Congress. (2007). Country Profile: Tajikistan, January 2007. Retrieved from: <https://www.loc.gov/rr/frd/cs/profiles/Tajikistan-new.pdf>
248. Lin, Z., Wu, Q., Marchenko, S., and Sharkhuu, N., 2010, Thermal State of Permafrost and Active Layer in Central Asia during the International Polar Year: Permafrost and Periglacial Processes, v. 21, p. 198-207.
249. Lioubimtseva, E. (2002). Arid environments. *Physical Geography of Northern Eurasia*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 267–283.
250. Lioubimtseva, E. Cole, R., (2006). Uncertainties of Climate Change in Arid Environments of Central Asia. *Reviews in Fisheries Science*, 14:1-2, 29-49.
251. Lioubimtseva, E., & Henebry, G. M. (2009). Climate and environmental change in arid Central Asia: Impacts, vulnerability, and adaptations. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 73(11), 963-977.
252. Lutz, A. F., Immerzeel, W. W., Shrestha, A. B., & Bierkens, M. F. P. (2014). Consistent increase in High Asia's runoff due to increasing glacier melt and precipitation. *Nature Climate Change*, 4(7), 587-592. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2237>
253. Lv, M., Guo, H., Lu, X., Liu, G., Yan, S., Ruan, Z., Ding, Y., and Quincey, D. J. 2019. Characterizing the behaviour of surge- and non-surge-type glaciers in the Kingata Mountains, eastern Pamir, from 1999 to 2016, *The Cryosphere*, 13, 219–236 P., <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-219-2019>.
254. Ma, Q. and Oguchi, T.: State of rock glaciers in the Rushan Range of Tajikistan, Western Pamir, 10th International Conference on Geomorphology, Coimbra, Portugal, 12–16 Sep 2022, ICG2022-394, <https://doi.org/10.5194/icg2022-394>, 2022.
255. Machguth, H., Eichler, A., Schwikowski, M., Brüttsch, S., Mattea, E., Kutuzov, S., ... & Kronenberg, M. (2024). Fifty years of firn evolution on Grigoriev ice cap, Tien Shan, Kyrgyzstan. *The Cryosphere*, 18(4), 1633-1646.
256. Makarevich K.G. (1964). Organization of glaciological studies on the glaciers of Talgar basin in Trans-Ili Alatau. *Glaciological Studies during the IHY Period. Trans-Ili and Dzungarian Alatau*, 4, 54–70.
257. Makarevich K.G., & Fedulov I.Ya. (1968). First information about the regime of the Korzhenevskiy glacier. *Hydrological Regime of Glaciers in Kazakhstan*, 7, 104–115.
258. Makarevich K.G., & Shabanov P.F. (1965). Ice flow in Talgar glaciers and its role in the river flow. *Glaciological Studies during the IHY Period. Trans-Ili and Dzungarian Alatau*, 5, 5–21.
259. Makarevich K.G., Fedulov I.Ya., & Borovinskiy S.A. (1969). Electrical sounding of the Korzhenevskiy glacier, runoff and its mass balance. *Glaciological Studies in Kazakhstan*, 8, 41–50.
260. Makhmadaliev B., Kayumov A., Novikov V., Mustaeva N., Radzhabov I. 2008. Second National Communication of the Republic of Tajikistan under the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change. Dushanbe, -93 p.
261. Malsy, M., Aus Der Beek, T., Eisner, S., Florke, M., (2012). Climate change impacts on Central Asian water resources. *Adv. Geosci.* 32, 77-83.
262. Malyshev, A. F., Osmonbetov, K. O., Osmonbetov, E. K. (1997). *Sostoyaniye i otsenka prirodnykh i antropogennykh istochnikov zagryazneniya okruzhayushchey sredy Kyrgyzstana*. Nauka i novyye tekhnologii-Bishkek, (4).
263. Mamadjanova, G., Wild, S., Walz, M. A. & Leckebusch, G. C. 2018. The role of synoptic processes in mudflow formation in the piedmont areas of Uzbekistan. *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 18, 2893-2919.
264. Mamatkanov, D., Bajanova, L., Romanovskiy, V. (2006). *Water resources of Kyrgyz Republic at the present*. Monograph, Bishkek.
265. Manandhar, S., Xenarios, S., Schmidt-Vogt, D., Hergarten, C., & Foggin, M. (2018). *Climate vulnerability & adaptive capacity of mountain societies in Central Asia* Mountain Societies Research Institute. University of Central Asia, Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan.
266. Mankin, J. S., & Diffenbaugh, N. S. (2015). Influence of temperature and precipitation variability on near-term snow trends. *Climate Dynamics*, 45, 1099-1116.
267. Mankin, J.S., et al., The potential for snow to supply human water demand in the present and future. *Environmental Research Letters*, (2015). 10: p. 114016. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/10/11/114016>.
268. Mannig B., Müller M., Starke E., Merckenschlager C., Mao W., Zhi X., Podzun R., Jacob D., Paeth H. (2013). Dynamical downscaling of climate change in Central Asia. *Glob Planet Change* 110:26–39. doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2013.05.008
269. Marchenko, S., Gorbunov, A. and Romanovsky, V.E. (2007). Permafrost warming in the Tien Shan Mountains, Central Asia. *Global and Planetary Change*, 56: 311-327.
270. Marchenko, S.S. (1999). Changes of permafrost-climatic conditions in the Northern Tien Shan:

- recent and expected for the 21th century. *Earth Cryosphere*, 3: 12-21.
271. Marchenko, S.S. (2001). A model of permafrost formation and occurrences in the intracontinental mountains. *Norwegian Journal of Geography*, 55(4): 230-234.
272. Marchenko, S.S. (2003). Borehole and active-layer monitoring in the northern Tien Shan (Kazakhstan). In: W. Haeberli and D. Brandova (Editors), 8th International Conference on Permafrost, Extended Abstracts. University of Zurich, Zürich, pp. 101-102.
273. Marchenko, S.S. and Gorbunov, A.P. (1997). Permafrost changes in the northern Tien Shan during the Holocene. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, 8(4): 427-435.
274. Margulis, S. A., Giroto, M., Cortés, G., & Durand, M. (2015). A particle batch smoother approach to snow water equivalent estimation. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 16(4), 1752-1772. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-14-0177.1>
275. Marty, C. (2008). Regime shift of snow days in Switzerland: *Geophysical Research Letters*, v. 35, p. L12501.
276. Marzeion, B. et al. Partitioning the uncertainty of ensemble projections of global glacier mass change. *Earths Future* 8, e2019EF001470 (2020).
277. Marzeion, B., Jarosch, A. H. & Hofer, M. (2012). Past and future sea-level change from the surface mass balance of glaciers. *The Cryosphere* 6, 1295–1322.
278. Mashtayeva, S., Dai, L., Che, T., Sagintayev, Z., Sadvakasova, S., Kussainova, M., Alimbayeva, D., & Akynbekkyzy, M. (2016). Spatial and temporal variability of snow depth derived from passive microwave remote sensing data in Kazakhstan. *Journal of Meteorological Research*, 30(6), 1033–1043. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13351-016-5109-z>
279. Maussion, F., Scherer, D., Mölg, T., Collier, E., Curio, J., & Finkelnburg, R. (2014). Precipitation seasonality and variability over the Tibetan Plateau as resolved by the High Asia Reanalysis. *Journal of Climate*, 27(5), 1910-1927. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00282.1>
280. Medeu, A. R., Alimkulov, S. K., Tursunova, A. A., Myrzakhmetov, A. B., Saparova, A. A., Baspakova, G. R., Kulebayev, K. M. (2020). Anthropogenic load on water resources of Kazakhstan. *Eurasian Journal of BioSciences*, 14(1).
281. Meinshausen, M. et al. The shared socio-economic pathway (SSP) greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions to 2500. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 13, 3571–3605 (2020).
282. Meinshausen, M., Smith, S. J., Calvin, K., Daniel, J. S., Kainuma, M. L. T., Lamarque, J.-F., et al. (2011). The RCP greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions from 1765 to 2300. *Climat. Change* 109, 213–241. doi: 10.1007/s10584-011-0156-z
283. Mergili, M., Kopf, C., Müllebner, B., & Schneider, J. F. (2012). Changes of the cryosphere and related geohazards in the high-mountain areas of tajikistan and austria: a comparison. *Geografiska Annaler: Series A, Physical Geography*, 94(1), 79–96. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0459.2011.00450.x>
284. Micklin, P. (1988). Dessication of the Aral Sea: A water management disaster in the Soviet Union. *Science*, 241: 1170– 1176.
285. Micklin, P. (2010). The past, present, and future Aral Sea. *Lakes & Reservoirs: Research & Management*, 15(3), 193- 213.
286. Middleton, N. (2002). The Aral Sea. *Physical Geography of Northern Eurasia*, pp. 497–510.
287. Miles, E., McCarthy, M., Dehecq, A., Kneib, M., Fugger, S., & Pellicciotti, F. (2021). Health and sustainability of glaciers in High Mountain Asia. *Nature communications*, 12(1), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-23073-4>
288. Millan, R., Mouginot, J., Rabatel, A. & Morlighem, M. Ice velocity and thickness of the world's glaciers. *Nat. Geosci.* 15, 124–129 (2022).
289. Ministry of Energy and Water Resources of Tajikistan (MEWR) - Use of water resources. Retrieved from [https://www.mewr.tj/?page\\_id=576](https://www.mewr.tj/?page_id=576)
290. Mirzokhonova, S. (2022, November 14). Climate of Tajikistan in relation to global warming (in Russian). News website of the National University of Tajikistan. Link: <https://tnu.tj/index.php/ru/klimat-tadzhikistana-v-svjazi-s-globalnym-potepleniem/>
291. Moelg, N., Bolch, T., Rastner, P., Strozzi, T., Paul, F. (2018). A consistent glacier inventory for Karakoram and Pamir derived from Landsat data: distribution of debris cover and mapping challenges, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* 10 (2018) 1807–1827, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-1807-2018>.
292. Mölg, T., Maussion, F., & Scherer, D. (2014). Mid-latitude westerlies as a driver of glacier variability in monsoonal High Asia. *Nature Climate Change*, 4(1), 68-73. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2055>
293. Molotch, N. (2010) Reconstructing snow water equivalent in mountainous regions using remotely sensed data and distributed snowmelt models,

- Geophysical Research Abstracts, 12, 13 763.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.7206>
294. Mukanova B.A., Severskiy I.V., Kapitsa V.P., Tatkova M.E., Kokarev A.L., & Shesterova I.N. (2023, in review). Changes in glaciation of the northern slope of Ile Alatau over a seventy-year period. *Bulletin of KazNU, Geographical Series*.
295. Munia, H., Guillaume, J. H. A., Mirumachi, N., Porkka, M., Wada, Y., Kumm, M. (2016). Water stress in global transboundary river basins: significance of upstream water use on downstream stress. *Environmental Research Letters*, 11(1), 014002.
296. Murzakulova, A., Schmidt-Vogt, D., Balla, D., Darr, D., Hamidov, A., Kasymov, U., Hamidov, A., Orazgaliyev, S. (2019). Water for agriculture and other economic sectors. In *The Aral Sea Basin* (pp. 86-99). Routledge.
297. Naegeli, K., Huss, M., & Hoelzle, M. (2019). Change detection of bare-ice albedo in the Swiss Alps. *The Cryosphere*, 13(1), 397–412. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-397-2019>
298. Narama C., Severskiy I., and Yegorov A. (2009). Current state of glacier changes, glacial lakes, and outburst floods in the Ile Ala-Tau and Kungöy Ala-Too ranges, northern Tien Shan Mountains. *Chiriga Kronshud*. 84, 22–32. doi:10.7886/hgs.84.22
299. Narama, C., Kääh, A., Duishonakunov, M., & Abdrakhmatov, K. (2010). Spatial variability of recent glacier area changes in the Tien Shan Mountains, Central Asia, using Corona (~ 1970), Landsat (~ 2000), and ALOS (~ 2007) satellite data. *Global and Planetary Change*, 71(1–2), 42–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2009.08.002>
300. Narama, C., Shimamura, Y., Nakayama, D., & Abdrakhmatov, K. (2006). Recent changes of glacier coverage in the western Terskey-Alatau range, Kyrgyz Republic, using Corona and Landsat. *Annals of Glaciology*, 43, 223–229. <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756406781812195>
301. National strategy of adaptation to climate change in the Republic of Tajikistan for the period to 2030. (2019). Source: <https://leap.unep.org/countries/tj/national-legislation/national-strategy-adaptation-climate-change-republic-tajikistan>.
302. Navruzshoev H.D., Kayumov A.K. (2021). Studying the state of glaciation in the upper reaches of the Vuzhdara River using the remote method. *Cryosphere*. — Volume 1. — No. 3-4. - Dushanbe. – P. 36-44.
303. Neronov, V. (1997). Landshaftnije osobennosti vekovih izmenenij uvlaznennosti v yugovostochnom Turkmenistane (Landscape features of century changes in wetting of south-eastern Turkmenistan). *Aridnije Ecosystemi*, 3(6–7): 141–150 (in Russian).
304. Niyazov D. B., Kalashnikova O. Y., Mirzokhonova S. O. (2019). The influence of climate change on the runoff of the Gunt River (a tributary of the Pyanj River, the Amu Darya River basin, Tajikistan) for the period 1940-2016. *News of the Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Tajikistan. Department of Physical, Mathematical, Chemical, Geological and Technical Sciences*. # 3(176). – P. 84-91. – EDN OJOJHV.
305. Niyazov D. B., Saidov M. S., Saidov S. M. (2018). Avalanche danger in the Lakhsh region of the Republic of Tajikistan. Analysis, forecast and management of natural risks taking into account global climate change «GEORISK - 2018»: Proceedings of the X International Scientific and Practical Conference on problems of reducing natural hazards and risks: in 2 volumes, Moscow, October 23–24, 2018 / Responsible. ed. N.G. Mavlyanova. Volume I. – Moscow: Peoples' Friendship University of Russia 2018.– P. 355-360. – EDN VLQSKS.
306. Notarnicola, C. Observing Snow Cover and Water Resource Changes in the High Mountain Asia Region in Comparison with Global Mountain Trends over 2000–2018. *Remote Sens*. 2020, 12, 3913. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12233913>
307. Nurakynov, S., Kaldybayev, A., Zulpykharov, K., Sydyk, N., Merekeyev, A., Chepashev, D., Nyssanbayeva, A., Issanova, G., & Fang, G. (2023). Accelerated Glacier Area Loss in the Zhetysu (Dzhungar) Alatau Range (Tien Shan) for the Period of 1956–2016. *Remote Sensing*, 15(8), 2133. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15082133>
308. Nüsser, M. (2017). Socio-hydrology: A New Perspective on Mountain Waterscapes at the Nexus of Natural and Social Processes. *Mountain Research and Development*, 37(4), 518–520. doi:10.1659/mrd-journal-d-17-00101.1
309. Nüsser, M., Dame, J., Parveen, S., Kraus, B., Baghel, R., Schmidt, S. (2019). Cryosphere-fed irrigation networks in the northwestern Himalaya: Precarious livelihoods and adaptation strategies under the impact of climate change. *Mountain Research and Development*. 39(2): p. R1-R11. <https://doi.org/10.1659/MRD-JOURNAL-D-18-00072.1>.
310. O'Hara, S., Hannan, T., Genina, M. (2008). Assessing access to safe water and monitoring progress on MDG7 target 10 (access to safe water and basic sanitation): Lessons from Kazakhstan. *Water Policy* 10 (1), 1–24.
311. Obu J, Westermann S, Bartsch A, Berdnikov N, Christiansen H H, Dashtseren A, Delaloye R,

- Elberling B, Etzelmüller B and Kholodov A (2019) Northern Hemisphere permafrost map based on TTOP modelling for 2000–2016 at 1 km<sup>2</sup> scale *Earth-Sci. Rev.* 193 299–316
312. Oerlemans, J. (2001). *Glaciers and Climate Change*.
313. Oerlemans J, Reichert BK. Relating glacier mass balance to meteorological data by using a seasonal sensitivity characteristic. *Journal of Glaciology*. 2000;46(152):1-6. doi:10.3189/172756500781833269
314. Orlovsky, N. (1994). *Climate of Turkmenistan. Biogeography and Ecology of Turkmenistan. Monographiae Biologicae*, vol 72. Springer, Dordrecht.
315. Orlovsky, N., & Orlovsky, L. (2002, June). Water resources of Turkmenistan: use and conservation. In workshop on water, climate, and development issues in the Amu Darya basin, Philadelphia, USA.
316. Orlovsky, N., and Orlovsky, L. (2014). Water resources of Turkmenistan: Use and conservation. The Jacob Blaustein Institute for Desert Research, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev.
317. Osmonbetova, D. K. (2021). Vodnyye resursy i vodoobespechennost' Kyrgyzstana. *Prirodoobustroystvo*, (2), 117-124.
318. Ozturk, T., Altinsoy, H., Türkeş, M., & Kurnaz, M. (2012). Simulation of temperature and precipitation climatology for the Central Asia CORDEX domain using RegCM 4.0. *Climate Research*, 52, 63-76. Retrieved July 23, 2021, from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/24875011>
319. Ozturk, T., Turp, M. T., Türkeş, M. & Kurnaz, M. L. 2017. Projected changes in temperature and precipitation climatology of Central Asia CORDEX Region 8 by using RegCM4.3.5. *Atmospheric Research*, 183, 296-307.
320. Pereira-Cardenal, S. J., Riegels, N. D., Berry, P. A. M., Smith, R. G., Yakovlev, A., Siegfried, T. U., and Bauer-Gottwein, P. (2011) Real-time remote sensing driven river basin modeling using radar altimetry, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 15, 241–254, <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-15-241-2011>.
321. Pertziger, F. (1996). *Abramov Glacier Data Reference Book: Climate, Runoff, Mass Balance*. Tashkent: Central Asian Hydrometeorological Institute.
322. Peters, J., Bolch, T., Gafurov, A., and Prechtel, N. (2015). Snow cover distribution in the Aksu catchment (Central Tien Shan) 1986–2013 based on AVHRR and MODIS data: *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics of Applied Earth Observation*, v. 8, no. 11, p. 5361-5375.
323. Petrov, M. (1976). *Deserts of the World*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
324. Petrov, M. A., Sabitov, T. Y., Tomashevskaya, I. G., Glazirin, G. E., Chernomorets, S. S., Savernyuk, E. A., Tutubalina, O. V., Petrakov, D. A., Sokolov, L. S., Dokukin, M. D., Mountrakis, G., Ruiz-Villanueva, V., Stoffel, M. (2017). Glacial lake inventory and lake outburst potential in Uzbekistan: *Science of the Total Environment*, v. 592, pp. 228–242.
325. Pimankina N., & Takibayev Zh. (2021). Long-term variability of snow resources in the Kazakhstan Altai. *Cryosphere*, 1–2(1), 109–122.
326. Pimankina, N. V., & Takibayev, Zh. D. (2023). Dynamics of the snow cover in the Arys River basin in conditions of climate change. *Journal of Geography and Environmental Management*, 70(3), 72–84. <https://doi.org/10.26577/JGEM.2023.v70.i3.06>
327. Piven Ye.N. (2008). Climate of the glacial-nival zone of southeastern Kazakhstan, its modern changes and possible impact to the water resources of the region. In Medeu A.R. (Ed.), “Geographical problems of sustainable development: theory and practice” International scientific-practical conference dedicated to the 70th anniversary of the Institute of Geography of JSC CNZMO of the Republic of Kazakhstan (pp. 112–123). Institute of Geography.
328. Piven, E. N. (2013). Climate processes in the nival-glacial zone of Trans-Ili Alatau and their impact on mountain glaciation and runoff. *Ice and Snow*, 122(2), 21–28. <https://doi.org/10.15356/2076-6734-2013-2-21-28>
329. Podrezov O. A. (2014). *Mountain Climatology and High Altitude Climatic Zonality of Kyrgyzstan*. Bishkek: KRSU Publishing House, 170 pp.
330. Podrezov, O., Dikih, N., Bakirov, K. (2001). Climate change and glaciers of the Tien-Shan for the last 100 years. *Kirghiz- Russian Slavic Univ Bulletin* 1(3):33–40 (in Russian).
331. Pohl, E., Gloaguen, R., Andermann, C., & Knoche, M. (2017). Glacier melt buffers river runoff in the Pamir Mountains. *Water Resources Research*, 53(3), 2467-2489.
332. Pohl, E., Knoche M., Gloaguen, R., Andermann, C., and Krause, P. (2015). Sensitivity analysis and implications for surface processes from a hydrological modelling approach in the Gunt catchment, high Pamir Mountains. *Earth*

- Surface Dynamics, 3, 333–362, doi:10.5194/esurf-3-333-2015
333. Pritchard, H. D. (2019). Asia's shrinking glaciers protect large populations from drought stress. *Nature*, 569(7758), 649–654. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1240-1>
334. Qibing Zhang & Shichang Kang 2017. Glacier snowline altitude variations in the Pamirs, Tajikistan, 1998–2013: insights from remote sensing images, *Remote Sensing Letters*, 8:12, 1220–1229, DOI: 10.1080/2150704X.2017.1375611
335. Radchenko, I., Dervede, Y., Mannig, B., Frede, H.-G. & Breuer, L. 2017. Climate change impacts on runoff in the Ferghana Valley (Central Asia). *Water Resources*, 44, 707–730.
336. Radić, V., and Hock, R. (2011). Regionally differentiated contribution of mountain glaciers and ice caps to future sea-level rise. *Nat. Geosci.* 4, 91–94. doi: 10.1038/ngeo1052
337. Radić, V., Bliss, A., Beedlow, A. C., Hock, R., Miles, E., & Cogley, J. G. (2014). Regional and global projections of twenty-first century glacier mass changes in response to climate scenarios from global climate models. *Climate Dynamics*, 42, 37–58.
338. Radić, V., Bliss, A., Beedlow, A. C., Hock, R., Miles, E., and Cogley, J. G. (2014). Regional and global projections of twenty-first century glacier mass changes in response to climate scenarios from global climate models. *Clim. Dyn.* 42, 37–58. doi: 10.1007/s00382-013-1719-7
339. Rastner, P., Prinz, R., Notarnicola, C., Nicholson, L., Sailer, R., Schwaizer, G., & Paul, F. (2019). On the automated mapping of snow cover on glaciers and calculation of snow line altitudes from multi-temporal landsat data. *Remote Sensing*, 11(12), 1410. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11121410>
340. Raveland, L., Magnin, F. and Deline, P: Impacts of the 2003 and 2015 summer heatwaves on permafrost-affected rock-walls in the Mont Blanc massif, *Science of the Total Environment*, 609, 132–143, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.07.055>, 2017
341. Reyer C. P., Otto I. M., Adams S., Albrecht T., Baarsch F., Carlsburg M., Coumou D., Eden A., Ludi E., Marcus R., Mengel M., Mosello B., Robinson A., Schleussner C.F., Serdeczny O., Stagl J. (2015). Climate change impacts in Central Asia and their implications for development *Reg. Environ. Change*, 17, pp. 1639–1650, 10.1007/s10113-015-0893-z
342. RGI Consortium. Randolph Glacier Inventory - A Dataset of Global Glacier Outlines, v.6 (NSIDC, 2017); <https://doi.org/10.7265/4m1f-gd79>.
343. Riahi, K., et al. (2017). «Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) for the 21st Century: Towards a Common Approach.» *Global Environmental Change*, 42, 148–153.
344. Rogger, M., Chirico, G. B., Hausmann, H., Krainer, K., Brückl, E., Stadler, P., & Blöschl, G. (2017). Impact of mountain permafrost on flow path and runoff response in a high alpine catchment. *Water Resources Research*, 53(2), 1288–1308. doi:10.1002/2016wr019341
345. Rounce D. R., Hock R., Maussion F., Hugonnet R., Kochtitzky W., Huss M., Berthier E., Brinkerhoff A., Compagno L., Copland L., Farinotti D., Menounos B., and McNabb R. W. (2023). Global glacier change in the 21st century: Every increase in temperature matters, *Science*, 379, 78–83, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abo1324>
346. Rounce, D. et al. Global glacier change in the 21st century: every increase in temperature matters. *Science* 379, 78–83 (2023).
347. Rounce, D. R., Hock, R., & Shean, D. E. (2020). Glacier mass change in High Mountain Asia through 2100 using the open-source Python glacier evolution model (PyGEM). *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 7, 331. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2019.00331>
348. Russo, E., Kirchner, I., Pfahl, S., Schaap, M., & Cubasch, U. (2019). Sensitivity studies with the regional climate model COSMO-CLM 5.0 over the CORDEX Central Asia Domain. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 12(12), 5229–5249. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-5229-2019>
349. Ryazanceva, Z. A. (1965). *Climate of Kirgizskoy SSR*. Ilim Publishing, Frunze 289 pp. (in Russian).
350. Safronova, Y. (2009). «Vodnaya problema» v Tsentral'noy Azii i yeye vliyaniye na imidzh Rossii i Kitaya v regione. *Kitay v mirovoy i regional'noy politike. Istoriya i sovremennost'*, 14(14), 94–114.
351. Saiko, T. and Zonn, I. (2000). Irrigation expansion and dynamics of desertification in the Circum-Aral region of Central Asia. *Appl Geogr* 20(4):349–367
352. Sakai, A., & Fujita, K. (2017). Contrasting glacier responses to recent climate change in high-mountain Asia. *Scientific reports*, 7(1), 13717.
353. Saks, T., Pohl, E., Machguth, H., Dehecq, A., Barandun, M., Kenzhebaev, R., Kalashnikova, O., Hoelzle, M. (2022). Glacier Runoff Variation Since 1981 in the Upper Naryn River Catchments, Central Tien Shan. *Front. Environ. Sci.*, Sec.

- Freshwater Science, v-9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2021.780466>
354. Salnikov, V., Talanov, Y., Polyakova, S., Assylbekova, A., Kauazov, A., Bultekov, N., Musralinova, G., Kissebayev, D., & Beldeubayev, Y. (2023). An Assessment of the Present Trends in Temperature and Precipitation Extremes in Kazakhstan. *Climate*, 11(2), 33. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cli11020033>
  355. Salnikov, V., Turulina, G., Polyakova, S., Petrova, Y., & Skakova, A. (2015). Climate change in Kazakhstan during the past 70 years. *Quaternary International*, 358, 77–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2014.09.008>
  356. Sara, J., Proskuryakova, T. (2022). Central Asia: at the confluence of global water action and climate resilience Dushanbe conference to emphasize role of water in sustainable development - The World Bank Blog. Retrieved from <http://surl.li/nkdk>
  357. Savoskul Oxana S, Chevnina Elena V, Perziger Felix I, Vasilina Ludmila Y, Baburin Viacheslav L, Danshin Alexander I, Matyakubov Bahtiyar, Murakaev Ruslan R (2003) Water, Climate, Food, and Environment in the Syr Darya Basin
  358. Schaner, N., Voisin, N., Nijssen, B., & Lettenmaier, D. P. (2012). The contribution of glacier melt to streamflow. *Environmental Research Letters*, 7(3), 034029. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/7/3/034029
  359. Scherler, D., Bookhagen, B., & Strecker, M. R. (2011). Spatially variable response of Himalayan glaciers to climate change affected by debris cover. *Nature Geoscience*, 4(3), 156–159. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1068>
  360. Schetinnikov, A.S. (1976). Ledniki basseina reki Pskem [Glaciers of the Pskem River basin] (in Russian). *Gidrometeoizdat*, p. 121.
  361. Schetinnikov, A.S., Podkopaeva L.D. (n.d.). Catalog lednikov SSSR. 1968-1969. [Catalogue of glaciers of USSR] (in Russian). Leningrad: *Gidrometeoizdat*. Vol. 14, parts 1, 3. Russian.
  362. Schiemann, R., Glazirina, M. G. & Schär, C. 2007. On the relationship between the Indian summer monsoon and river flow in the Aral Sea basin. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 34.
  363. Schiemann, R., Lüthi, D. & Schär, C. 2009. Seasonality and Interannual Variability of the Westerly Jet in the Tibetan Plateau Region. *Journal of Climate*, 22, 2940-2957.
  364. Schiemann, R., Lüthi, D., Vidale, P. L., and Schär, C. (2008). The precipitation climate of Central Asia—intercomparison of observational and numerical data sources in a remote semiarid region. *Int. J. Climatol.*, 28, 295–314.
  365. Schmale, J., et al., Modulation of snow reflectance and snowmelt from Central Asian glaciers by anthropogenic black carbon. *Science Reports*, 2017. 7: p. 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep40501>.
  366. Schöne, T., Zech, C., Unger-Shayesteh, K., Rudenko, V., Thoss, H., Wetzel, H. U., ... & Zubovich, A. (2013). A new permanent multi-parameter monitoring network in Central Asian high mountains—from measurements to data bases. *Geoscientific Instrumentation, Methods and Data Systems*, 2(1), 97-111.
  367. Schöne, T., Zubovich, A., Zech, C., Illiger, J., Sharshebaev, A., Mandychiev, D., Shakirov A., N., Haghghi M.H., Gerlitz L., Gafurov A., Moldobekov B. , & Lauterjung, J. (2019). In Situ and Remote Water Monitoring in Central Asia— The Central Asian Water (CAWa) Network. *Current Trends in Landscape Research*, 599-610.
  368. Schrader, F., Bekchanov, M., Laldjebaev, M., Kamolidinov, A. (2019). Hydropower. In: *The Aral Sea Basin: Water for Sustainable Development in Central Asia*, S. Xenarios, et al., Editors. 2019, Routledge Publisher: London and New York. p. 52-66.
  369. SDC, 2012, Swiss Cooperation Strategy Central Asia 2012-2015: Federal Department of Foreign Affairs FDFASwiss Agency for Development and Cooperation SDC Federal Department of Economic Affairs FDEAState Secretariat for Economic Affairs SECO.
  370. Semakova, E., & Bühler, Y. (2017). TerraSAR-X/ TanDEM-X data for natural hazards research in mountainous regions of Uzbekistan. *Journal of Applied Remote Sensing*, 11(3), 036024-036024.
  371. Semakova, E., & Semakov, D. (2022). Changes in Glaciers in the Pskem River basin, Uzbekistan: 1942– 2020. *International Journal of Geoinformatics*, 18(1), 43-51.
  372. Semakova, E., Gunasekara, K., & Semakov, D. (2016). Identification of the glaciers and mountain naturally dammed lakes in the Pskem, the Kashkadarya and the Surhandarya River basins, Uzbekistan, using ALOS satellite data. *Geomatics, Natural Hazards and Risk*, 7(3), 1081-1098.
  373. Serquet, G., Marty, C., and Rebetez, M. (2013). Monthly trends and the corresponding altitudinal shift in the snowfall/precipitation day ratio: Theoretical and Applied Climatology, v. 114, pp. 437–444.
  374. Severskiy E, 2017. Permafrost response to climate change in the Northern Tien Shan.

- Sciences in Cold and Arid Regions, 9(4): 398-403. DOI: 10.3724/SP.J.1226.2017.00398.
375. Severskiy E.V. (2019). Geothermal monitoring of the permafrost zone of the Northern Tien Shan. *Questions of Geography and Geoecology*, 2, 87–96.
376. Severskiy E.V., Olenchenko V.V., & Gorbunov A.P. (2014). The influence of local factors to the distribution of frozen rocks in the Zhosalykezen pass (Northern Tien Shan). *Cryosphere of the Earth*, XYIII(4), 13–22.
377. Severskiy I.V., Kokarev A.L., & Pimankina N.V. (2012). Snow and ice resources of Kazakhstan: Water resources of Kazakhstan: assessment, forecast, management (Medeu A. R., Ed.; Vol. 6). Institute of Geography.
378. Severskiy I.V., Vilesov Ye.N., Makarevich G.K., & Diyarova K.Sh. (2008). Glaciological research in Kazakhstan: stages of development, state of prospects. In Medeu A. R. (Ed.), “Geographical problems of sustainable development: theory and practice” International scientific-practical conference dedicated to the 70th anniversary of the Institute of Geography of JSC TsNZMO of the Republic of Kazakhstan (pp. 136–145). Institute of Geography.
379. Severskiy, I. V., Kokarev, A. L., Severskiy, S. I., Tokmagambetov, T. G., Shagarova, L. B., & Shesterova, I. N. (2006). Contemporary and Prognostic Changes of Glaciation in Balkhash Lake Basin (I. V. Severskiy, Ed.). Institute of Geography.
380. Severskiy, I., Vilesov, E., Armstrong, R., Kokarev, A., Kogutenko, L., Usmanova, Z., Morozova, V., & Raup, B. (2016). Changes in glaciation of the Balkhash–Alakol basin, central Asia, over recent decades. *Annals of Glaciology*, 57(71), 382–394. <https://doi.org/10.3189/2016AoG71A575>
381. Shahgedanova, M., Afzal, M., Hagg, W., Kapitsa, V., Kasatkin, N., Mayr, E., Rybak, O., Saidaliyeva, Z., Severskiy, I., Usmanova, Z., Wade, A., Yaitskaya, N., & Zhumabayev, D. (2020). Emptying Water Towers? Impacts of Future Climate and Glacier Change on River Discharge in the Northern Tien Shan, Central Asia. *Water*, 12(3), 627. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w12030627>
382. Shahgedanova, M., Afzal, M., Severskiy, I., Usmanova, Z., Saidaliyeva, Z., Kapitsa, V., Kasatkin, N., & Dolgikh, S. (2018). Changes in the mountain river discharge in the northern Tien Shan since the mid-20th Century: Results from the analysis of a homogeneous daily streamflow data set from seven catchments. *Journal of Hydrology*, 564, 1133–1152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.08.001>
383. Shean, D. E., Bhushan, S., Montesano, P., Rounce, D. R., Arendt, A., Osmanolu, B. (2020). A systematic, regional assessment of high mountain Asia glacier mass balance. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 7, 363. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2019.00363>
384. Shultz, V.L. (1965). Reki Srednei Azii [Rivers of Central Asia] (in Russian). Gidrometeoizdat, Leningrad.
385. Siegfried, T., Bernauer, T., Guiennet, R., Sellars, S., Robertson, A. W., Mankin, J., Bauer-Gottwein, P., Yakovlev, A. (2012). Will climate change exacerbate water stress in Central Asia?. *Climatic Change*, 112, 881-899.
386. Siegfried, T., Mujahid, A. U. H., Marti, B., Molnar, P., Karger, D. N., & Yakovlev, A. (2024). Unveiling the future water pulse of central asia: a comprehensive 21st century hydrological forecast from stochastic water balance modeling. *Climatic Change*, 177(9), 141.
387. Sitara, P., Winiger, M., Schmidt, S., Nüsser, M. (2015). Irrigation in Upper Hunza: Evolution of socio-hydrological interactions in the Karakoram, northern Pakistan. *Erdkunde*. 69. 69-85. [10.3112/erdkunde.2015.01.05](https://doi.org/10.3112/erdkunde.2015.01.05).
388. Smith, T. and Bookhagen, B.: Changes in seasonal snow water equivalent distribution in High Mountain Asia (1987 to 2009), *Sci. Adv.*, 4, e1701550, <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1701550>, 2018.
389. Sommer, R., Glazirina, M., Yuldashev, T., Otarov, A., Ibraeva, M., Martynova, L., Bekenov, M., Kholov, B., Ibragimov, N., Kobilov, R., Karaev, S., Sultonov, M., Khasanova, F., Esanbekov, M., Mavlyanov, D., Isaev, S., Abdurahimov, S., Ikramov, R., Shezdyukova, L. & De Pauw, E. 2013. Impact of climate change on wheat productivity in Central Asia. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 178, 78-99.
390. Sorg, A., Bolch, T., Stoffel, M., Solomina, O., & Beniston, M. (2012). Climate change impacts on glaciers and runoff in Tien Shan (Central Asia). *Nature Climate Change*, 2(10), 725–731. doi:10.1038/nclimate1592
391. Sorg, A., Huss, M., Rohrer, M. & Stoffel, M. (2014). The days of plenty might soon be over in glacierized Central Asian catchments. *Environmental Research Letters*, 9, 104018.
392. Sorg, A., Käab, A., Roesch, A., Bigler, C., & Stoffel, M. (2015). Contrasting responses of


- Central Asian rock glaciers to global warming. *Scientific Reports*, 5(1). doi:10.1038/srep08228
393. Stanchin, I., Lerman, Z. (2007). Water in Turkmenistan (No. 888-2016-65088).
394. State Water Resources Agency (SWRA) (2022.09.09). Water resources of the Kyrgyz Republic through the lens of NISI KR 1. Retrieved from <http://surl.li/njzif>
395. Stoffel, M. and Huggel, C. (2012). Effects of climate change on mass movements in mountain environments: Progress in Physical Geography, v. 36, pp. 421–439.
396. Streletskiy, D., Noetzi, J., Smith, S.L., Vieira, G., Schoeneich, P., Hrbacek, F. and A.M. Irrgang (2021): Measurement Standards and Monitoring Guidelines for the Global Terrestrial Network for Permafrost (GTN-P). 2021. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.6075468
397. Tarasov, P. (1992). Evlutsia klimata i landshaftov Severnogo i centralnogo Kazakhstana (Climatic and landscape evolution of northern and central Kazakhstan) (in Russian). PhD thesis, Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.
398. Tarasov, P., Webb III, T., Andreev, A., Afanas'eva, N., Berezina, N., Bezusko, L., Blyakharchuk, T., Bolikhovskaya, N., Cheddadi, R., Chernavskaya, M., Chernova, G., Dorofeyuk, N., Dirksen, N., Elina, G., Filimonova, L., Glebov, F., Guiot, J., Gunova, V., Harrison, S., Jolly, D., Khomutova, V., Kvavadze, E., Osipova, I., Panova, N., Prentice, I., Searse, L., Sevastyanov, D., Volkova, V. and Zernitskaya, V. (1998). Present-day and mid-Holocene biomes reconstructed from pollen and plant macrofossil data from the former Soviet Union and Mongolia. *J. Biogeogr.*, 25: 1029–1053.
399. Terekhov A.G., & Abayev N.N. (2023). Changes in spatial distribution of snow deposit during 2001...2022 in east Kazakhstan. *Hydrometeorology and Ecology*, 1, 15–22.
400. The 8th National Communication and the 5th Biennial Report of the Republic of Kazakhstan to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change. (2022).
401. Third National Communication (TNC) of the Republic of Uzbekistan under the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change. – Tashkent, 2016.
402. Toderich, K., Tsukatani, T., Abbdusamatov, M. (2004). Water resources assessment, irrigation and agricultural developments in Tajikistan. KIER Discussion Paper, 585.
403. Tokmagambetov G.A., Yerasov N.V., Lebenkov Ye.G., & Syrgabayev M. (1977). Results of seismic sounding on the Malyy Berelskiy glacier. *Snow Avalanches and Glaciers of Kazakhstan*, 139–143.
404. Tokmagambetov, T.G. (2010). Oledeniye Yugo-Vostochnogo Kazakhstana v usloviyah izmeneniya klimata [Glaciation of south-eastern Kazakhstan in a changing climate] (in Russian). *KazKKA Habarshisi*. 2:248–254.
405. Tologonov, E. (2022). Vodnyye resursy i voprosy vodopol'zovaniya gosudarstvami Tsentral'noy Azii. NISI.kg Retrieved from <https://nisi.kg/blog/23-Vodnyie-riesursy-i-voprosy-vodopolzovaniia-ghosudarstvami-Tsientralnoi-Azii.html>
406. Tomaszewska M. A., Henebry G. M. (2018). Changing snow seasonality in the highlands of Kyrgyzstan. *Environmental Research Letters*. – T. 13. – №. 6. – C. 065006.
407. Tsarev, B. (2006). Assessment of climate change impact on maximum snow storage in Central Asian mountains: Proceeding of NGIMI, v. 4, no. 249, p. 15-32.
408. Tursunova, A., Medeu, A., Alimkulov, S., Saparova, A., & Baspakova, G. (2022). Water resources of Kazakhstan in conditions of uncertainty. *Journal of Water and Land Development*, (54).
409. Tyumenev, S. D. (2008). *Vodnyye resursy i vodobespechennost' territorii Kazakhstana*. Almaty: KazNTU
410. UNDP. (2011). *The glaciers of Central Asia: a disappearing resource: United Nations Development Programme, UNEP/DEWA/GRID-Europe*
411. Unger-Shayesteh, K., Vorogushyn, S., Farinotti, D., Gafurov, A., Duethmann, D., Mandychev, A., & Merz, B. (2013). What do we know about past changes in the water cycle of Central Asian headwaters? A review. *Global and Planetary Change*, 110, 4–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2013.02.00>
412. Usmanova Z.S. (2014). Assessment of changes in glaciers in Shyryn and Tekes rivers basins (Kazakh part of Ile River basin) based on space monitoring data. *Bulletin of KazNU, Geographical Series*, 1(38), 72–80.
413. Usmanova Z.S., & Kapitsa V.P. (2015). Modern glaciations and glacial lakes of the basin of Karatal River. *Bulletin of KazNU, Geographical Series*, 1(40), 255–262.
414. Usmanova, Z., Shahgedanova, M., Severskiy, I., Nosenko, G., & Kapitsa, V. (2016). Assessment of Glacier Area Change in the Tekes River Basin, Central Tien Shan, Kazakhstan Between 1976

- and 2013 Using Landsat and KH-9 Imagery. The Cryosphere Discuss. [Preprint].
415. Usubaliev R.A., Moldobekov B.D., Mandychov A.N., Osmonov A.T., Azisov E. Kenzhebaev R., Esenaman uulu M., & Shabunin A.G. (2021). The Glacial Systems of Kyrgyzstan Under Climate Change. In: Kulenbekov Z.E., Asanov B.D. (eds) Water Resource Management in Central Asia and Afghanistan. Springer Water.
416. Varis, O. (2014). Resources: Curb vast water use in Central Asia. *Nature* 514, 27–29.
417. Varuschenko, S., Varuschenko, A. and Klige, R. (1987). *Izmeneniya rezima Kaspijskogo morja i besstoknih vodoemov v paleovremeni (Variations of the Caspian Sea regime and of closed lakes in palaeotimes) (in Russian)*. Nauka Publishers.
418. Velichko, A., Klimanov, V. and Belyaev, A. (1987). Caspian Sea and Volga River 5.5 and 125 thousands years before present. *Priroda*, 3: 60–66 (in Russian).
419. Vilesov Ye.N. (2007). Climate change in the highlands of Ileiskiy Alatau in the context of global warming. *Hydrometeorology and Ecology*, 1, 29–38.
420. Vilesov Ye.N. (2016). Dynamics and current state of glaciation in the mountains of Kazakhstan. *Kazak universiteti*.
421. Vilesov Ye.N., & Fedulov I.Ya. (1968). Korzhenevsky glacier in Trans-Ili Alatau. *Hydrological Regime of Glaciers in Kazakhstan*, 7, 95–103.
422. Vilesov Ye.N., & Uvarov V.N. (1997). Fluctuations in mountain glaciers as an indicator of climate change. *Hydrometeorology and Ecology*, 3, 165–175.
423. Vilesov, E. N., Severskiy, I. V., & Morozova, V. I. (2014). Dynamics of glaciation in the Kazakh part of Altai during 60 years. *Ice and Snow*, 126(2), 14–21. <https://doi.org/10.15356/2076-6734-2014-2-14-21>
424. Vilesov, E. N. (2018). Changes in the size and condition of the glaciers in Kazakhstan for the last 60 years (1955– 2015). *Ice and Snow*, 58(2), 159–170. <https://doi.org/10.15356/2076-6734-2018-2-159-170>
425. Vinogradov, O.N., Krenke, A.N., Oganovskiy, P.N. (1966). *Rukovodstvo po sostavleniyu kataloga lednikov SSSR [Guidelines for compiling the Catalogue of Glaciers of the USSR] (in Russian)*. Gidrometeoizdat. Leningrad.
426. Walter, F., Amann, F., Kos, A., Kenner, R., Phillips, M., de Preux, A., Huss, M., Tognacca, C., Clinton, J., Diehl, T., Bonanomi, Y. (2019). Direct observations of a three million cubic meter rock-slope collapse with almost immediate initiation of ensuing debris flows. *Geomorphology*, 106933. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2019.106933.
427. Wang, Q., Yi, S., Chang, L., & Sun, W. (2017). Large-scale seasonal changes in glacier thickness across High Mountain Asia. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(20), 10427–10435. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017gl075300>
428. Wang, R., Liu, S., Shangguan, D., Radić, V., & Zhang, Y. (2019). Spatial heterogeneity in glacier mass-balance sensitivity across High Mountain Asia. *Water*, 11(4), 776. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w11040776>
429. Wang, X., Ding, Y., Liu, S., Jiang, L., Wu, K., Jiang, Z., & Guo, W. (2013). Changes of glacial lakes and implications in Tian Shan, central Asia, based on remote sensing data from 1990 to 2010. *Environmental Research Letters*, 8(4), 044052. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/8/4/044052>
430. Water cadaster of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Section 1. Surface waters. Annual data on the regime and resources of land surface waters. Tobol and Torgai basins (Vol. 3, pp. 1–108). (2021).
431. Wendt, Anja, Christoph Mayer, Astrid Lambrecht, and Dana Floricioiu. 2017. A Glacier Surge of Bivachny Glacier, Pamir Mountains, Observed by a Time Series of High-Resolution Digital Elevation Models and Glacier Velocities. *Remote Sensing* 9, no. 4: 388. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9040388>
432. WGMS 2023. Global Glacier Change Bulletin No. 5 (2020–2021). Zemp, M., Gärtner-Roer, I., Nussbaumer, S.U., Welty, E.Z., Dussaillant, I., and Bannwart, J., (eds.), ISC(WDS)/IUGG(IACS)/UNEP/UNESCO/WMO, World Glacier Monitoring Service, Zurich, Switzerland, 134 pp., publication based on database version: doi:10.5904/wgms-fog-2023-09.
433. WGMS 2023. Global Glacier Change Bulletin No. 5 (2020–2021). Zemp, M., Gärtner-Roer, I., Nussbaumer, S.U., Welty, E.Z., Dussaillant, I., and Bannwart, J. (eds.), ISC(WDS)/IUGG(IACS)/UNEP/UNESCO/WMO, World Glacier Monitoring Service, Zurich, Switzerland, 134 pp., publication based on database version: doi:10.5904/wgms-fog-2023-09.
434. WGMS, ed. Global Glacier Change Bulletin No. 3 (2016–2017). Global Glacier Change Bulletin, ed. M. Zemp, et al. Vol. 3. 2020, ISC(WDS)/IUGG(IACS)/UNEP/UNESCO/WMO, World Glacier Monitoring Service: Zürich. 274.
435. White, C. J., Tanton, T. W. & Rycroft, D. W. 2014. The Impact of Climate Change on the Water Resources of the Amu Darya Basin in Central


- Asia. *Water Resources Management*, 28, 5267-5281.
436. Wicky, J., & Hauck, C. (2017). Numerical modelling of convective heat transport by air flow in permafrost talus slopes. *The Cryosphere*, 11(3), 1311–1325. doi:10.5194/tc-11-1311-2017
437. Winsvold, S. H., Kääb, A., Nuth, C., Andreassen, L. M., Van Pelt, W. J., & Schellenberger, T. (2018). Using SAR satellite data time series for regional glacier mapping. *The Cryosphere*, 12(3), 867-890. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-867-2018>
438. WMO, 2019, Call to action: Avoiding the impending crisis in mountain weather, climate, snow, ice and water: Pathways to a Sustainable Global Future: World Meteorological Organization.
439. World Glacier Monitoring Service. Fluctuations of Glaciers Database (WGMS, 2022); <https://doi.org/10.5904/wgms-fog-2022-09>.
440. Worni, R., Huggel, C., & Stoffel, M. (2013). Glacial lakes in the Indian Himalayas — From an area-wide glacial lake inventory to on-site and modeling based risk assessment of critical glacial lakes. *Science of The Total Environment*, 468-469, S71–S84. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.11.043.
441. Xenarios, S., Gafurov, A., Schmidt-Vogt, D., Sehring, J., Manandhar, S., Hergarten, C., Shigaeva, J., & Foggin, M. (2019). Climate change and adaptation of mountain societies in Central Asia: uncertainties, knowledge gaps, and data constraints. *Regional Environmental Change*, 19(5), 1339–1352. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-018-1384-9>
442. Xenarios, S., Schmidt-Vogt, D., Qadir, M., Janusz-Pawletta, B., Abdullaev, I., & Smakhtin, V. (2019). The Aral Sea Basin: Water for Sustainable Development in Central Asia (Introduction). In *The Aral Sea Basin: Water for Sustainable Development in Central Asia* (p. 1). Routledge.
443. Young S. S. (2023). Global and Regional Snow Cover Decline: 2000–2022. *Climate*, 11(8), 162; <https://doi.org/10.3390/cli11080162>
444. Zandler, H., Haag, I., & Samimi, C. (2019). Evaluation needs and temporal performance differences of gridded precipitation products in peripheral mountain regions. *Scientific Reports*, 9, 15118. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-51666-z>
445. Zemp, M., Gärtner-Roer, I., Nussbaumer, S. U., Welty, E. Z., Dussaillant, I., & Bannwart, J. (2023). WGMS 2023. *Global Glacier Change Bulletin No. 5* (2020–2021).
446. Zhang H., Ouyang Z., Zheng H., Wang X. (2009). Recent climate trends on the northern slopes of the Tianshan mountains, Xinjiang, China. *J Mt Sci* 6:255–265. doi:10.1007/s11629-009-0236-y
447. Zhang, T.P. (1987). *Precipitation in Xirijian Beijing*. Beijing Press, 400 pp. (in Chinese).
448. Zheltenkova, N. V., Gagarin, V. E., Koshurnikov, A. V., & Nabiev, I. A. (2020). Regime geocryological observations of the high altitude mountain passes of Tien Shan. *Arctic and Antarctic*, 3, 25–43. <https://doi.org/10.7256/2453-8922.2020.3.33535>
449. Zheng, G., Allen, S. K., Bao, A., Ballesteros-Cánovas, J. A., Huss, M., Zhang, G., Li, J., Yuan, Y., Jiang, L., Yu, T., Chen, W., & Stoffel, M. (2021). Increasing risk of glacial lake outburst floods from future Third Pole deglaciation. *Nature Climate Change*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-01028-3>
450. Zhou, H., Aizen, E., & Aizen, V. (2017). Seasonal snow cover regime and historical change in Central Asia from 1986 to 2008. *Global and Planetary Change*, 148, 192–216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2016.11.011>
451. Zhumayeva, M. B., Shodiyev, S. R., & Chembarisov, E. I. (2021). Otsenka izmeneniya nekotorykh kharakteristik klimata Tsentral'noy Azii. Obespecheniye ustoychivogo razvitiya v kontekste geografii, zelenoy ekonomiki, ekologii i turizma, 9.
452. Zolotokrylin, A. (2003). *Klimaticheskoye opustinivaniye (Climatic desertification)* (in Russian). Moscow: Nauka Publishers.
453. Zonn, I.S. (2012). *Water Resources of Turkmenistan. The Turkmen Lake Altyn Asyr and Water Resources in Turkmenistan. The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry*, vol 28. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. [https://doi.org/10.1007/698\\_2012\\_205](https://doi.org/10.1007/698_2012_205)

# ANNEX

**Figure S1.** Annual glacier meltwater contribution projection from 2000 to 2100 for each catchment modelled and all three emission' scenarios.



**Figure S2.** Seasonal glacier meltwater contribution projection from for 2100 for catchment modeled and all three emission scenarios.




**Figure S3.** Relative variation (present-day to 2100) of the glacier meltwater contribution to total annual river runoff by catchment, for the three emissions scenarios for scenarios for August (top), September (middle) and Octobre (bottom)


Optimistic (SSP1-2.6)

Intermediate (SSP2-4.5)


Pessimistic (SSP5-8.5)



**Figure S4.** Relative variation (present-day to 2100) of the glacier meltwater contribution to total annual river runoff by catchment, for the three emissions scenarios for scenarios for August (top), September (middle) and Octobre (bottom)



**Figure S5:** Catchment-wide volume loss for all scenarios. The blue colour in the pie charts indicates the volume change by 2040, 2060, 2080 and 2100 (from lightest to darkest blue) relative to the ice volume in 2020 (numbers below the pie chart).





GEF-UNDP-UNESCO project “Strengthening the Resilience of Central Asian Countries by Enabling Regional Cooperation to Assess Glacio-nival Systems to Develop Integrated Methods for Sustainable Development and Adaptation to Climate Change”

Supported By:



Implemented By:



Executed By:



In partnership with:



Stay up to date with project news:

- [www.cryosphereca.org](http://www.cryosphereca.org)
- [unescoalmaty](https://www.instagram.com/unescoalmaty)
- [unescoalmaty](https://www.facebook.com/unescoalmaty)
- [unescoalmaty](https://www.twitter.com/unescoalmaty)
- [unescoalmaty](https://www.telegram.com/unescoalmaty)